



Business Paper

Sutherland Shire Local Planning Panel

Tuesday, 19 March 2019

3:00pm

Council Chambers

Administration Building

4 - 20 Eton Street, Sutherland

SUTHERLAND SHIRE

ORDER OF BUSINESS

1. DISCLOSURES OF INTEREST

2. REPORTS FROM OFFICERS

SSLPP006-19 Planning Proposal - SSLEP2015 Landscaped Area –
Existing Non-Compliances 2019

SSLPP007-19 Planning Proposal - Low Rise Medium Density Housing Code and
SSLEP2015

SSLPP008-19 Planning Proposal - Minor Amendments –
Heritage Items 2019

SSLPP009-19 Planning Proposal - Schedule 5 'Environmental Heritage' of SSLEP2015 -
List the house at 65 Hotham Road GyMEA as a Local Heritage Item by
adding it to Schedule 5

**SSLPP009-19 PLANNING PROPOSAL - SCHEDULE 5 'ENVIRONMENTAL HERITAGE'
OF SSLEP2015 - LIST THE HOUSE AT 65 HOTHAM ROAD GYMEA AS
A LOCAL HERITAGE ITEM BY ADDING IT TO SCHEDULE 5**

SSLPP009-19

Attachments: Appendix A[1](#) and Appendix B[1](#)

REASON FOR THE REPORT

This planning proposal is referred to the SSLPP for advice in accordance with the s9.1 direction issued by the Minister for Planning on 27/09/2018.

REPORT RECOMMENDATION

THAT:

Sutherland Shire Local Planning Panel advises Council that

The Panel supports the Planning Proposal proceeding to Gateway, noting the heritage significance of the property.

ASSESSMENT OFFICER'S COMMENTARY

1.0 PROPOSAL

The planning proposal presented in this report is to amend Sutherland Shire Local Environmental Plan 2015 (SSLEP2015) by adding the house at 65 Hotham Road GyMEA to Schedule 5 'Environmental Heritage', thereby designating the house as a local heritage item.

The house at 65 Hotham Road is within the boundaries of the President Private Hospital which is owned by Macquarie Health, and is part of a proposed redevelopment of the site (DA18/0788). The original Development Application (DA), when received, included the demolition of the house. During public consultation for DA18/0788, submissions objected to the demolition of the house on the basis of its local historical value.

A preliminary heritage assessment (by Council's Senior Heritage Architect Claudia Miro) concluded that the house was likely to be of local heritage significance.

Consequently, Council made an Interim Heritage Order (IHO) for 65 Hotham Road GyMEA. At Council's request, a heritage consultant has undertaken a detailed heritage assessment to determine its significance. The assessment (Attachment 1) confirms that the house is worthy of local heritage listing and a Planning Proposal has been prepared to heritage list the house.

2.0 BACKGROUND

Council's first comprehensive heritage review was conducted in 1993 by consultants Perumal, Murphy Wu. The house at 65 Hotham Road GyMEA was evaluated in that review as having local heritage significance, being a good example of a large individually designed, Inter-War brick house. However, Council did not list the property at that time, at the request of the then owner.

The house was again proposed to be heritage listed in SSLEP2015. A submission was received by the then owner of the land objecting to the proposed heritage listing. Given lack of detailed heritage analysis, its inclusion in the draft LEP was not supported by the Independent Panel who conducted a review of Version 2 of the draft LEP. The listing was not pursued by Council and the house was not listed as a local heritage item when SSLEP2015 was made.

A recent development application for the President Private Hospital (DA18/0788) initially included the demolition of the house at 65 Hotham Road GyMEA. During public consultation for DA18/0788, Council received 84 submissions objecting to the demolition of the house because its local historical significance, with some submissions providing details of the history of the property as a poultry farm considered important to the history of the area.

A preliminary heritage assessment was prepared by Council's Senior Heritage Architect. The report concluded that the house is likely to be of local heritage significance. Council resolved (PLN049-18) to apply an Interim Heritage Order to the house, which was published in the NSW Government Gazette on 23 November 2018.

An Interim Heritage Order is a temporary heritage order (made for up to one year), which provides time to arrange for a detailed assessment of the heritage significance, or values, of a potential heritage item and its subsequent heritage listing. While an IHO is in place, Council can approve all or part of a development to continue but cannot authorise demolition.

At Council's request, a detailed heritage assessment was done by heritage consultants Architectural Projects Pty Ltd (Jennifer Hill Director), to determine if the item warrants statutory listing. The consultant's report, which recommends that the item be heritage listed as an item of local heritage significance, is attached as Appendix 1.

3.0 PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

A planning proposal (attached as Appendix 2) to add the house at 65 Hotham Road GyMEA to Schedule 5 'Environmental Heritage' of SSLEP2015 has been prepared to begin the process of amending the LEP.

4.0 STATUTORY CONSIDERATIONS

Ministerial Directions under Section 9.1 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979: Local Planning Panels Direction – Planning Proposals – 27/09/2018

Under this Direction all planning proposals prepared after 01/06/2018 must be referred to the local planning panel for advice unless the Council's general manager determines that the planning proposal relates to:

- a) *the correction of an obvious error in a local environmental plan,*
- b) *matters that are of a consequential, transitional, machinery or other minor nature, or*
- c) *matters that council's general manager considers will not have any significant adverse impact on the environment or adjoining land.*

This matter is expected to have limited impact on the environment. However, to ensure that the planning proposal is accepted at Gateway and avoid any doubt, it is prudent that it be referred to the SSLPP for advice.

Further, under this direction, Council is specifically required to provide an assessment report with the planning proposal and recommendations as to whether or not the planning proposal should be submitted for a Gateway determination. This report has been prepared to assist the panel in their advice to Council and to satisfy the requirements of the direction.

S117 and S9.1 Directions for Planning Proposals Generally

The planning proposal has been assessed against all relevant Ministerial Directions and found to be generally consistent.

State Environmental Planning Policies

The Planning proposal has been assessed against all relevant State Environmental Planning Policies and found to be consistent.

5.0 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Formal public participation in a planning proposal can only occur once a Gateway Determination has been issued by the Minister or Greater Sydney Commission. Once the Gateway Determination has been issued, the following consultation activities are proposed to be undertaken:

Exhibition

In accordance with "A Guide to Preparing Local Environmental Plans" prepared by the Department of Planning and Environment (2016), the Planning Proposal will be exhibited for a period of 28 days. It is proposed that the exhibition will include:

- **Advertisement in local newspaper**

An advertisement to be placed in the Council page in the St George and Sutherland Shire Leader and The Liverpool City Leader identifying details of the planning proposal, where the planning proposal can be viewed and how to make a submission.

- **Displays at the Council Administration Building and local libraries**

The planning proposal will be displayed at the Council Administration Building, 4-20 Eton Street, Sutherland and in all branch libraries (Sutherland, Caringbah, Cronulla, Engadine, Menai, Miranda, Bundeena and Sylvania).

- **Advertisement on the Council website**

The planning proposal will be exhibited on the Council consultation website (jointheconversation.sutherlandshire.nsw.gov.au) with links from the home page.

- **Direct contact**

Interested parties will be able to contact the Strategic Planning Unit of Council directly through a telephone hotline and through a dedicated email address.

6.0 DECLARATIONS OF AFFILIATION, GIFTS AND POLITICAL DONATIONS

Section 10.4 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979 requires the declaration of donations/gifts in excess of \$1000, but does not apply to applications or requests made by a public authority on its own behalf. Consequently in relation to this planning proposal no declaration has been made.

7.0 CONCLUSION

The Planning Proposal seeks to list the house at 65 Hotham Road GyMEA as a local heritage item in SSLEP2015, in accordance with advice from the heritage consultant.

The Planning Proposal is generally consistent with relevant State and local legislation, directions, policies and strategic documents and will have a minimal environmental, social and economic impact. The Planning Proposal should be forwarded for Gateway Determination.

RESPONSIBLE OFFICER

The officer responsible for the preparation of this Report is A/Manager, Strategic Planning (BM), who can be contacted on 9710 0376.

File Number: 2018/319322

APPENDIX 'A'

Architectural Projects "

Job No. 1810 – 65 Hotham Road, Gymea
Heritage Assessment Review
March 2019

SSLPP009-19 Appendix A

Document

Project 65 Hotham Road, GyMEA

Project No. 1810

Document Control

Version	Date	Document	
1	19.02.2019	Status	Hentage Assessment Review Draft
		Author	Jennifer Hill Director Registered Architect 4811
		Verification	Elizabeth Gibson Associate
2	04.03.2019	Status	Hentage Assessment Review Final
		Author	Jennifer Hill Director Registered Architect 4811
		Verification	Elizabeth Gibson Associate

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
1.1	BACKGROUND	1
1.2	HISTORICAL RESEARCH	1
1.3	PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION	2
1.4	STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE	2
1.5	CONCLUSION	3
2.	INTRODUCTION	4
2.1	BACKGROUND	4
2.2	SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION	4
2.3	AUTHORSHIP	4
2.4	LIMITATIONS	4
2.5	METHODOLOGY	4
2.6	SITE INSPECTION	4
3.	HISTORICAL CONTEXT	5
3.1	HISTORY OF THE AREA	5
3.2	TIMELINES	5
3.3	HISTORY OF THE SITE	8
3.4	HISTORIC THEMES	16
4.	PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION	17
4.1	DESCRIPTION OF 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA	17
4.2	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS	17
4.3	FEDERATION BUNGALOW STYLE	18
5.	STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE	19
5.1	THE SIGNIFICANCE OF 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA	19
5.2	CONCLUSION	20
6.	BIBLIOGRAPHY	21
7.	LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	23

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA**1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY****1.1. BACKGROUND**

The report, which assesses the property 65 Hotham Road, GyMEA, is currently the subject of a Heritage Assessment Review. Architectural Projects Pty Limited were commissioned by Sutherland Council in December 2018. In September 2018, Sutherland Council sought an interim Heritage Order to stop demolition of Hotham House, to enable Council to undertake a review of the heritage value of the property for possible listing on the LEP, and to engage in talks with Macquarie Health to seek preservation and adaptive reuse of the house.

1.2. HISTORICAL RESEARCH

In 1912 Isabella Tildesley, wife of Albert Tildesley, leased Lot 7 Section 12 of DP 801 from the Holt Sutherland Estate. The land comprised 5 acres at the corner of Hotham Road and Woronora Road (now President Avenue). Albert Tildesley was Secretary of the Balmain Rozelle branch of Starr Bowkett Society. Albert and Isabella had three sons Ron, Allan and Bert. The house, first known as 'Ron-al-Bert' after the three sons, was built in circa 1912 and the ballroom added soon after. The house was built by an English bricklayer Sid Zealey, who was also resident of Hotham Road.

The importance of the Hotham Poultry Farm is identified in a newspaper article in 1926:

"The Hotham Poultry farm, at Sutherland, ... owned and managed by A. H. Tildesley, is probably one of the best, if not the best, equipped establishments of this kind in Australia..."

By 1924, Albert Tildesley said Hotham Poultry Farm, referred to as "Hotham Mammoth Poultry Farm", was largest poultry farm in the State.

A fire at Hotham House in June 1925 began in the office, and spread into the ballroom and other rooms, destroying records of the Starr Bowkett Society. In June 1927, Tildesley was charged with having stolen funds from the Balmain and Rozelle Starr-Bowkett and Building Society. Tildesley was tried and found guilty in September 1927, sentenced to three years hard labour. The property offered for auction sale in June 1928.

The Hotham Farm property was described at the time of the sale as follows:

The area consists of 10 acres. The residence, which is surrounded by its own lawns and gardens, includes tennis court and consists of dining-room, ballroom, or billiard-room, kitchen, breakfast-room, 6 bedrooms, TWO GARAGES. The farm is capable of housing 12,000 head of poultry all netted, connected with water, storerooms, feed houses, and incubator house, are all complete and ready for immediate use. The whole of the area is on the Southern slope of the hill, is well drained and fowl houses all have northerly aspects."

Frederick Thomas Turner purchased Hotham Farm in 1928. Like Tildesley, Frederick Turner was a significant and active figure in the Poultry Farming industry. He was a regular and successful exhibitor at poultry shows, and was responsible for the establishment of the White Campbell duck breed, bred from a white "sport" discovered in a hatch amongst his Khaki Campbells.

A photograph of Hotham Farm dated circa 1930's, shows the house and garden setting.

Turner's wife Bndgette had been Catholic, and so Turner allowed the Church to use the Ballroom to hold parish dances and balls.

In 1946, Lot 7 Sec 12 DP 801 was purchased by Joseph Horace King. King built on Turner's work to refine the breeding of White Campbell ducks. In 1947, the weekly newspaper "Poultry" featured King's Duck Farming innovations. In 1956, Joseph King and Anne McIntyre subdivided the estate, and began to sell off lots for housing.

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

In 1969, King approached Council with a scheme for a Convalescent Hospital on Lots 24 and 24A DP 26995. Later that year several lots adjoining Hotham House were sold to President Pty Ltd. The estate of the late Joseph Horace King retained ownership of No. 65 (Lot 24A DP 24995). The hospital was under construction in August 1973, in cream brick with concrete roof, sitting low on the adjoining site. Hospital commenced operation in 1975. Numerous extensions to the hospital occurred from that time. Hotham House was purchased by Macquarie Health in 1994, and was used as a Sports Medicine Clinic.

In September 2018, Sutherland Council sought an interim Heritage Order to stop demolition of Hotham House, to enable Council to undertake a review of the heritage value of the property for possible listing on the LEP, and to engage in talks with Macquarie Health to seek preservation and adaptive reuse of the house.

1.3 PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION

A large single storey freestanding residence that dates from the late federation period, predating the vast majority of residential development in the precinct. The building displays features of the Federation Bungalow Style and is set on a wide site that has been subdivided. Originally built on a rural 5-acre block, Hotham House is now located in a suburban setting adjoining President Hospital Building and has partially lost its historic context. The building is setback approximately 16 metres from the street. The site is unfenced, with a driveway on the north boundary accessing a rear parking area. The site is turfed and features a concrete circular path, with a central Norfolk Island Pine, and provides an appropriate setting for the structure. The view of the site has been developed as a bitumen carpark, and the hospital has extended onto the site at the south west corner.

The façade presents an asymmetrical elevation with a tuck-pointed face brick finish on a common brick base course. A recessed panel with roughcast finish features below window groupings and timber balustrading. The roof is gabled and hipped with a medium pitch and has exposed eaves. The roof is clad in Decramastic metal roofing tile sheets, and features face brick chimneys with decorative brick corbelling and battened and shingled gable end details. A decorative timber verandah extends across the original façade and returns to the north side. The verandah features paired timber posts on brick piers with art nouveau timber detail consistent with its late federation period construction date. The entry is marked by a small gablet and central stair. A ballroom has been added to the south. The door is located centrally to the original form, and features sidelights and a fanlight. Fenestration comprises vertically proportioned timber frame casement windows, often grouped in three, with fine leadlight. The rear of the house has a utilitarian character, and comprises a number of additions, linked by a covered walkway accessing the hospital to the south.

The interior features fine decorative plaster ceilings and cornices, decorative ventilators, timber mantelpieces, moulded architraves, picture rails and skirting boards. The ballroom features face brick wall finishes and a timber lined ceiling.

1.4 STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE

The house and site at 65 Hotham Road have **historic significance** at a local level for their ability to evidence an early poultry farm in the Sutherland Shire. It is significant for its associations with the early development of poultry farming. The site evidences the early leasehold rural development, and occupation of the 5 acre lots on the Holt Sutherland estate between Sutherland and Sylvania. The house was built as the homestead to Hotham Farm, where under Tildesley, Turner and King, significant advances were made in poultry breeding and raising.

The house provides a link to the time when poultry farming was the main primary industry in Sutherland Shire. Hotham Farm represents the most successful primary industry in the district, - a landmark business of local and state importance. Hotham House is a reminder of the importance and the relative prosperity of some poultry farmers at this time.¹

¹Curby, Pauline. Submission to Council DA.

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

The house and its setting reflect the growing affluence of the area during the boom years, the first phase of suburbanisation post 1911. The dwelling, and particularly the ballroom, has **historic significance** at a local level for its ability to illustrate a way of life, and an aspect of social life in Sutherland at the beginning of WWI. Hotham House was an important social centre for local people in an isolated area. Originally built to host Starr Bowkett Society events and parties (Tildesley Period), the ballroom later accommodated Parish Dances and Balls (Turner period).

The house provides evidence of both the social and economic life of the time prior to the construction of the Sutherland/Cronulla railway branch line.

The house has important **historic associational significance** through the associations with Hotham Farm, and with Arthur Tildesley, and later owners Frederick Turner and Joe King. Tildesley built the house and ballroom and established Hotham Farm, which was at one time the largest poultry farm in the state. Tildesley was well known locally before and after his incarceration. His court case attracted great interest in the press. Subsequent owners Turner and King made significant advances in poultry farming during their time at Hotham House and farm.

The house and garden at 65 Hotham Road have **aesthetic significance** at a local level as a fine and substantial local example of a late Federation period house constructed in the Federation Bungalow style, in a garden setting. The Norfolk Island Pine and circular path contribute to the setting.

The house has **social significance** at a local level, and is remembered well by many older residents, because of its former uses, and rarity. Some community groups have shown interest in the building and site. The history of the building has been recorded in historical society publications. The building was proposed for heritage listing prior to the demolition proposal.

The house has **rarity significance** at a local level. Few original rural homesteads survive in the local government area. The ballroom is a rare element on this type of residence and in the LGA.

The house and garden setting have **representative significance** at a local level as a fine example of substantial and intact federation bungalow.

1.5 CONCLUSION

The Assessment of Significance of "Hotham House", 65 Hotham Road, GyMEA confirms that the house and garden meet the threshold for listing as a heritage item of local significance on the Local Environmental Plan.

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA**2. INTRODUCTION****2.1. BACKGROUND**

The report, which assesses the property 65 Hotham Road, GyMEA, is currently the subject of a Heritage Assessment Review. Architectural Projects Pty Limited were commissioned by Sutherland Council in December 2018. In September 2018, Sutherland Council sought an interim Heritage Order to stop demolition of Hotham House, to enable Council to undertake a review of the heritage value of the property for possible listing on the LEP, and to engage in talks with Macquarie Health to seek preservation and adaptive reuse of the house.

2.2. SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION

The building is located on the west side of the street. The site, Lot 24A in DP 26995 has been subdivided from the original 5-acre lot of the Holt Sutherland Estate, the site is 1625m².

2.3. AUTHORSHIP

The report has been prepared by a team consisting of the following key members:
Jennifer Hill – Architectural Projects Pty Ltd – Heritage Architect
Elizabeth Gibson – Architectural Projects Pty Ltd – Heritage Architect

2.4. LIMITATIONS

A time frame of 4 weeks was established for the preparation of the Report. No access was given to the building. Interior descriptions rely on a series of detailed photographs provided by Council. Access was given to records held by the Council. No physical intervention was undertaken to prepare this report. No historical archaeological work was commissioned for the report.

2.5. METHODOLOGY

The report confirms whether the Heritage Assessment has been prepared in accordance with the methodology outlined in J. S. Kerr, *The Conservation Management Plan* by Dr James Semple Kerr (7th Edition 2013) and whether the report complies with the principles of the Australian ICOMOS Charter for the Conservation of Places of Cultural Significance (the Burra Charter) and its guidelines. The methodology used in the Heritage Assessment is that recommended by the NSW Heritage Office.

2.6. SITE INSPECTION

The site was inspected from the street on 15.2.2019. Physical descriptions, condition and integrity assessments were carried out with the aid of a series of detailed photographs taken by Council on 16 August 2018 and an analysis of documentary evidence.

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA**3. HISTORICAL CONTEXT****3.1. HISTORY OF THE AREA**

The site lies on the border of Kirrawee and GyMEA, an area that was part of Thomas Holt's Sutherland Estate

The northern area of Kirrawee was originally included in Crown land auctions on 13 March 1856, where it was within the area described as being at the GyMEA Ground¹, but remained unsold until purchased by Thomas Holt on 31 December 1862 as part of his South Botany (later Sutherland) Estate. It is part of the GyMEA Ground described in Walker's 1868 Report on the Sutherland Estate as follows: *"This is a fine square block of high Land and is called the GyMEA Ground on account of the quantity of GyMEA or Gigantic Lilly, which grows on the land, and is very valuable for manufacturing into paper."*

When first subdivided in the "Holt-Sutherland Estate" in 1882 it was included in the suburban portions between townships Sylvania & Sutherland. It was from around that time regarded as part of Sutherland as it was accessed from Sutherland Railway Station.²

By the start of WWI, poultry farming had become the pre-eminent primary industry in the district, particularly on less fertile lots. Curby notes that this occurred as fruit growing in Sydney and adjacent areas declined. An increasing proportion of land in country New South Wales was under agriculture, a trend given impetus by the construction of the Burrinjuck Dam in 1907. Water from this dam helped open up the Murrumbidgee Irrigation Area where fruit and market garden crops were produced. Other areas of the state were also cleared and made into small farms in the wake of the closer settlement movement. Therefore, farmers in Sutherland Shire and in other parts of Sydney gradually turned to poultry farming which could be successfully managed on small holdings with relatively poor soil.³

It was not until the 1950s that Kirrawee became heavily settled, with many families looking to resettle after World War II.⁴

3.2. TIMELINES**TIMELINE OF LOT 24A, DP 26995 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA (ALSO KNOWN AS 63 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA)**

1862 (31 December)	Crown grants to Thomas Holt portions 106 and 107 of the Parish of Sutherland
1912	Isabella Tildesley, wife of Albert Henry Tildesley, accountant of Rozelle, leased 5 acres of land from the Holt Sutherland Estate being Lot 7, Section 12 of DP 801
1914 (11 November)	Isabella Tildesley purchased Lot 7, Section 12 of DP 801 (Holt Sutherland Estate) (Vol 2597 Fol 159) (Transfer no: A190749)
1915 (14 August)	Further acquisitions of land by the Tildesleys followed soon after, with the acquisition of Lot 8, Section 12 of DP 801, and Lots 11 and 12, Section 13 of DP 1660
1920	Tildesley has acquired Lots 5 and 6, Section 12 of DP 801, and Lot 13 Section 13 of DP 1660.
1925 (16 June)	Fire at Hotham House, in office extending to the ballroom and other rooms (Truth, 14 August 1927 plc) Records of Starr Bowkett Society destroyed
1927 (21 September)	Albert Henry Tildesley was convicted of 3 counts of forgery and uttering

¹ NSW Government Gazette No 21 of 7 February 1856 Folios 411-3

² "Origin of Suburbs" (PDF) www.sutherlandshire.nsw.gov.au. Retrieved 11 February 2019

³ M. Christie: *The Sydney Markets: 1788-1988*. Sydney Market Authority. Sydney. 1988. p. 92

⁴ Curby, Pauline Submission to Council DA.

⁵ "Origin of Suburbs" (PDF) www.sutherlandshire.nsw.gov.au. Retrieved 11 February 2019

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

1928	Albert Henry Tildesley in Bankruptcy
1928 (5 April)	Isabella Tildesley transferred the property to William Harrington Palmer of Sydney, Official assignee (Vol 2591 Fol 159)
1928 (June)	Hotham Poultry Farm offered for auction sale under instructions from W.H. Palmer, Official assignee and passed in (Daily Telegraph Sat 30 June 1928, p22)
	Sale to Frederick Thomas Turner, Poultry Farmer of Sutherland
1929 (15 May)	Certificate of Title to Frederick Thomas Turner, Poultry Farmer of Sutherland (4296-59)
1938	Frederick Thomas Turner, Poultry Farmer part Lot 7, Section 12
1938 (20 April)	Sales of Part Lot 7 to Commissioner for Railways (4296-59)
1946 (19 July)	Transfer to Joseph Horace King, refrigeration engineer, and widow Annie Elizabeth McIntyre both of Sutherland
1955 (15 June)	Drainage Easement transferred to Sutherland Council
1956 (3 August)	Joseph H King and Annie Elizabeth McIntyre subdivided part of Lots 7, 8 and 9, Section 12 DP 801, in DP 26995 (DP Registration Book)
1956	Following the death of Annie McIntyre, Joseph H King became sole proprietor
1956	Sale of Lots in DP 26995
1957	Certificate of Title Vol. 7361 Fol 82 Joseph Horace King
1962	Certificate of Title Vol. 8343 Fol 169 Joseph Horace King
1972	Death of Joseph Horace King
1975	Certificate of Title Vol. 12951 Fol 72-82
	Residence – Lot 24A, 34 in DP 26995
	Lots 37, 38, 40, 63, A, B, C, D & E in DP 29493
1994 (10 December)	Lot 24A purchased by Macquarie Health Corporation
1995 (24 May)	Registered Owner: - Paul Francis Holdsworth
1995 (25 August)	Refusal of Consent for Physiotherapy and Sports Medicine Clinic Applicant Macquarie Health Corporation
1995	Proposal for Rehabilitation and Sports Medicine Clinic at 63 Hotham Road (24A, DP 26995) Pace Property Management Services (Plans)
1996 (19 April)	Sports Medicine Clinic, President Private Hospital Consent. DA 95/1139
1999	Mirandraft PL – on behalf of President Private Hospital Management Application for approval to construct the carpark and driveway as part of DA 95/1139 approval
1999 (27 May)	Approval Building Permit 99/0206
2009	Proposed Consolidation of Lot 1 DP 841502 and Lot 24A DP 26995
	Survey 7 May 2009
2018 (20 November)	Interim Heritage Order, House at 65 Hotham Road, GyMEA Lot 24A DP 26995

TIMELINE OF ADJOINING LOTS**PRESIDENT PRIVATE HOSPITAL (FORMERLY PART OF HOTHAM FARM)**

1962	Approval of erection of dwelling at No 61 Hotham Rd (K.G. James, Earlwood Lot 23 DP 26995)
1966 (10 April)	DA – Service Station at corner refused
	Applicant Joseph Horace King
1967 (2 August)	Lot 23, No 61 Hotham Road
	Proposed garage and playroom approved
1968	Kenneth George James lodged application for a license under Second Hand Motor Dealers Act
	In respect 61 Hotham St – Not determined

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

1969 (13 June)	Photo Council inspection – noting unsightly and dilapidated sheds required demolition. Demolition order issued 5 August 1969 to Joseph Horace King, 63/71 Hotham Rd, GyMEA. No progress at inspection 8 December 1971.
1969	House for sale (61 Hotham Rd)
1969 (25 August)	Applicant for Development Permission Joseph Horace King at 63-65 Hotham Road Existing site was described as vacant, concrete tennis court, old shed Premises was used as a farm
1969 (3 September)	Approval in principle to erection of Convalescent Hospital, Joseph Horace King
1971 (September)	Sketch Plans Lief Kristensen
1971	Design for Private Hospital by Lief Kristensen and Richard Le Plastner
1971	Lots 24, 25, 26 DP 26999 (Vol 8343 Fol 769) Dwelling Owner:- Joseph Horace King, GyMEA Purchaser:- John Tracy Hardiman, Sylvania (agent for President Private Hospital)
1972 (16 May)	Approval of 46 Bed Private Hospital
1972 (29 May)	DA Approved
1972 (13 December)	Proposed erection of 1 storey private surgical hospital on Lot 24, DP 26995 (Nos 67/71) and Lots 25/27, DP 26995 (Nos 375/379) Refused by Council
1973	Application for amendments to approved application, Lief Kristensen for President PL Owner Hardiman Hospital under construction
1973 (23 February)	Consent No. 95/73 for erection of Private Surgical Hospital (46 beds) Lots 24-27
1975 (20 June)	Application for Certificate of Compliance
1975	Hospital use commenced
1975	[(Vol 11819 Fol 42,43)-1975]
1976	Proposals to extend application for consent, President PL
1977	Approval extension to existing operating theatre block, Lief Kristensen
1978 (23 January)	Approval extensions for X-ray room
1978 (13 November)	DA Application Proposed extension of theatre block at rear No 65 Plans Lief Kristensen for President PL
1978-1984 c	65 (Lot 24A, DP 24995) Estate Late Joseph Horace King care of Mrs C King, 1/38-40 Talara Road GyMEA
1979 (5 June)	Consent for extension to hospital including additional theatre, recovery ward/amenities. Lief Kristensen
1980/81	Proposed extension of DA 24/78 Proposed lounge room, plans Lief Kristensen
1981 (April)	Application for amendments
1984 (24 May)	Extensions to Hospital, add wards, new theatre and lounge. Amended application approved Applicant President Private Hospital, Julius Boker architectural
1986	Application for 16 additional carparks on President Avenue refused David Nathan & Co Architects

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

1987 (2 July)	Pool approved at 61 Hotham
1987 (12 November)	Approval Carpark extensions
1992 (12 October)	Refusal DA 841/92
	Alterations and 2 storey additions to President Private Hospital and construction of 2 carparks
1992	Land and Environment Court Appeal 10392/1992 President Private Hospital V SSC re extensions to President Private Hospital
1999 (31 May)	Consent DA 99/1369
	Enclosed Hydrotherapy/Rehabilitation pool and enclosure at north-west of site
	Mirandraft for President Private Hospital.
2002 (December)	DA 02/2040
	Alterations and Additions to Hospital – new entry, internal alterations, Pace Property Management, Kennedy Associates Architects
2003	Application for Hydrotherapy pool carparking
	Approved in march, delegated authority
2004 (17 August)	Approval DA 02/1859
	Open carpark to President Private Hospital Pace Property Management Services (No 65 owned by President Private Hospital)
2008 (17 June)	Approval of DA 08 0354 Additions to President Private Hospital, new endoscopy unit
2008 (19 May)	Occupation Certificate DA 08/0354
2009 (2 December)	DA 09/0732 approved
	Coffee shop, Awning, Roof replacement
2009 (25 November)	DA 09/0929 approved
	Access driveways and carpark on President Ave, President Private Hospital

3.3 HISTORY OF THE SITE

Part of Portion 106 and 107 of the Parish, originally granted to Thomas Holt by 2 crown grants dated 31 December 1862 (Fig Parish Map) Part of the Holt Sutherland Estate between the townships of Sylvania and Sutherland, subdivided into suburban portions of 5 acres under DP 801. (Fig 3.1) Hotham Road was first named in 1886 by James Murphy the manager/director of Holt Sutherland Estate.⁷

99 year leaseholds of The Holt Sutherland Estate were advertised heavily in 1894-1896, offering the "best place to make a home and an independent living with the least time and money... cheap rents, good land for market gardens, orchards, poultry bees etc."⁸

Early settlers in the GyMEA area and settlers established the suburb's first orchards and farms on leases. Less arable land was ideal for the establishment of poultry farms. By 1898, the Holt Sutherland Horticultural, Poultry and Progress Society was established and poultry raised on the Estate was exhibited in 20 classes.⁹

ALBERT AND ISABELLA TILDESLEY (1912-1928)

In 1912 Isabella Tildesley, wife of Albert Henry Tildesley who was at that time described as an accountant of Rozelle, leased Lot 7 Section 12 of DP 801 from the Holt Sutherland Estate, at an annual rent of £7 10s, payable in quarterly

⁷ Sutherland Shire Street Names A-Z August 2009

⁸ The Daily Telegraph 20 Feb 1894, p7

⁹ Australian Town and Country Journal (Sydney NSW) 1870-1907; Sat 1 Oct 1898 Page 25 THE CULTIVATOR

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

instalments calculated from 1 February 1912.¹⁰ The land comprised 5 acres at the corner of Hotham Road and Woronora Road (Fig 3.2).

The property was traversed by a creek running from the north west to the south east corner, and a waterfall (now occupied by President Private Hospital). The riparian corridor was vegetated, but the remainder of the site was cleared.

Albert Tildesley was the only son of mechanic Henry Tildesley of Wallsend, from Birmingham, England.¹¹ From at least 1906, Albert Tildesley was Secretary of the Balmain Rozelle branch of Starr Bowkett Society. There appears to have been a family connection as Isabella Tildesley was born Isabel Starr. Albert and Isabella had three sons Ron, Allan and Bert.

The house, first known as 'Ron-Al-Bert' after the three sons, was built in circa 1912 and the ballroom added soon after.

Mick Derrey's memories include the construction of the house, which he puts at around 1913, and the establishment of the poultry farm, across the road from his childhood home. Derrey's father was engaged by Tildesley to clear the land on which the house was to be built. Derrey recalls that a corrugated iron shed was erected first and the Tildesley family lived in that for about six months while the house was being built. The house was built by an English bricklayer Sid Zealey, who was also resident of Hotham Road.

The lease was converted to freehold in 1915.¹² A mortgage was taken out on the property in September 1915, and land adjoining the original lot was purchased. The acquisition of Lot 8, Section 12 of DP 801, and Lots 11 and 12, Section 13 of DP 1660 brought Tildesley's holdings to over 12 acres. By 1920, the property was expanded further with the purchase of Lots 5 and 6, Section 12 of DP 801, and Lot 13 Section 13 of DP 1660.

Tildesley dammed the creek to ensure a reliable water supply. Another large corrugated iron shed was built on the north bank of the dam to house a large engine, pump, and electricity generator serving both homestead and farm.¹³

*"For the storage of water Zealey built large round brick tanks plastered inside and out on the high ground in the north-west corner ... about half way between Hotham Road and Bath Road. With the tanks completed Zealey had the task of building brick poultry sheds 50 yards long in the east-west direction with the fronts facing north. Zealey built half a dozen sheds. In the meantime Zealey sent for his brother-in-law, a carpenter ... to assist in roofing the sheds and for general carpentering throughout the farm. With the roofing of the sheds completed, provision was made for lighting of the fowl sheds with electricity and also the walkways where switches were fastened to posts." Tildesley then built a tennis court built on what is now the Hotham Road/President Avenue corner, lit for night tennis. "For the watering of the approximate 5000 fowls he had a ball cock drip system installed, fed from the large tanks in the north-west of his property. His poultry feed came to Sutherland by two or three railway truck loads, namely wheat, pollard, bran and corn. It was usually carted and stacked in the large sheds by the Lovedays and Lyes in their heavy spring carts"*¹⁴

The importance of the Hotham Poultry Farm is identified in a newspaper article in 1926.

*"The Hotham poultry farm, at Sutherland, owned and managed by A. H. Tildesley, is probably one of the best, if not the best, equipped establishments of this kind in Australia..."*¹⁵

¹⁰ Lease A147595

¹¹ Newcastle Morning Herald and Miners' Advocate (NSW), 1876-1954; Thu 27 Jun 1907 Page 3 DISTRICT NEWS.

¹² Certificate of Title Vol 2597 Fol 159

¹³ Hatfieldy, Kim, A History of Hotham House, January 2019

¹⁴ Mick Derrey, "A. H. Tildesley's Hotham Poultry Farm", Sutherland Shire Historical Society Bulletin, November 1984, p. 60

¹⁵ Group Settlement Chronicle and Margaret Augusta Mail (Busselton, WA), 1923-1930; Tue 26 Jan 1926 Page 2 A MAMMOTH POULTRY FARM

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

A description of the farm follows:

*"The farm comprises over 20 acres, about three quarters of which is covered with poultry houses and runs, and a five acre patch is entirely devoted to the cultivation of green fodders such as rape, barley, oats, and lucerne. The birds are kept on the semi-intensive system, the main laying-sheds "are 230ft long by 34ft deep, and Mr Tildesley, being a great believer in bricks and mortar, has had the back walls and ends of nearly all constructed of this material. The runs attached have netting fences 10 to 12 feet high, and many of the smaller breeding pens and chicken runs are completely covered over. Several of these hens carry 1,000 head each," and one large pallet house has accommodation for 2,000 birds....The adult stock comprises 7000 white Leghorns and 1000 black Orpingtons...Starting 12 or more years ago with a few hens for their own use, Mr and Mrs Tildesley have gradually worked up the farm to its present high state of perfection."*¹⁶

By 1924, Albert Tildesley, who was at that time President of the New South Wales Poultry Farmers' Association, said that Hotham Poultry Farm, referred to as "Hotham Mammoth Poultry Farm", was largest poultry farm in the State.¹⁷ Tildesley later claimed that it was largest poultry farm in Australia.¹⁸

For the scattered early residents of GyMEA and Kirrawee, Hotham House was a gathering place on the evenings when the ballroom was used as a dance hall. Unlike Sutherland, Miranda and Cronulla, the area had no School of Arts or other meeting place. Mick Derrey, wrote of how he would never forget hearing Albert Tildesley sing on social evenings and how "his voice would echo down through the gully towards North West Arm."¹⁹⁻²¹

Hotham House was also an important meeting place for those who were unable to qualify for bank loans to start a business or for a house purchase. As Albert Tildesley was secretary of the innovative Balmain and Rozelle Starr-Bowkett Building Society, draws for loans were held in the ballroom. Society members entered a draw for a loan, rather than applying.²²

The first sign of trouble in Tildesley's seemingly highly successful venture, was a fire at Hotham House in June 1925. The fire began in the office, and spread into the ballroom and other rooms, destroying records of the Starr-Bowkett Society.²³ The extent of damage was not reported.

In June 1927, Albert Tildesley aged 47 was charged with having stolen funds from the Balmain and Rozelle Starr-Bowkett and Building Society, the institution where he had been secretary for 18 years.²⁴ At the trial, it was revealed that society records were destroyed in a fire in the Sutherland home of Tildesley. Tildesley was tried and found guilty in September 1927, sentenced to three years hard labour. (Fig 3.3)

¹⁶ Group Settlement Chronicle and Margaret Augusta Mail (Busselton, WA: 1923 - 1930) Tue 26 Jan 1926 Page 2: A MAMMOTH POULTRY FARM

¹⁷ The Register (Adelaide, SA: 1901 - 1929) Fri 26 Feb 1926 Page 14, POULTRY INDUSTRY 1 (The Daily Telegraph (Sydney, NSW: 1883 - 1930) Saturday 7 March 1925 p.7 Advertising

¹⁸ The Australasian (Melbourne, Vic.: 1864 - 1946) Sat 18 Oct 1924 Page 18: Advertising

¹⁹ Mick Derrey, "A.H. Tildesley's Hotham Poultry Farm", Sutherland Shire Historical Society Bulletin, November 1984, p. 60.

²⁰ Curby, Pauline Submission to Council DA

²¹ Curby, Pauline Submission to Council DA

²² Truth, 14 August 1927

²³ SMH 1 July 1927 p8

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Following the conviction and bankruptcy of Arthur Tildesley, Isabella Tildesley transferred the property to William Palmer, Official Assignee in April 1928, and offered for auction sale by Stanton and Son in June 1928, along with other property namely land at Miranda and GyMEA Bay and Cronulla.

The Hotham Farm property was described at the time of sale as follows:

"MAGNIFICENT POULTRY FARM

FULL EQUIPMENT. READY TO BE STOCKED IMMEDIATELY

"HOTHAM POULTRY FARM," corner HOTHAM-ROAD and PRESIDENT-AVENUE, 3 minutes from Sutherland to Cronulla tram.

*The area consists of 10 acres. The residence, which is surrounded by its own lawns and gardens, includes tennis court and consists of dining-room, ballroom, or billiard-room, kitchen, breakfast-room, 6 bedrooms, TWO GARAGES. The farm is capable of housing 12,000 head of poultry all netted, connected with water, storerooms, feed houses, and incubator house, are all complete and ready for immediate use. The whole of the area is on the Southern slope of the hill, is well drained and fowl houses all have northerly aspects."*²⁴

*The farm was sold unstocked, and much of the equipment had been removed."*²⁵

FREDERICK TURNER (1928-1946)

Frederick Thomas Turner purchased Hotham Farm in 1928. Frederick Turner had worked for Billy Hughes and had a promising political future, however health issues as a result of time in a prisoner of war camp during WWI, saw him move to the land. Turner, his wife Bridgette (who died shortly after), and their six children relocated to GyMEA from a poultry farm in Peakhurst²⁶ (Fig 3.4)

Turner began with fresh stock and was soon advertising the sale of white leghorn and black orpington chicks and pullets, including 'delivery to farm' or 'freight paid to nearest railway station'. He later moved from egg production into the sale of day-old chicks and ducklings. Turner added duck production to the Hotham operations, building up production "to be the largest duck hatchery in Australia. This success was largely attributed to his discovery that the fertility and hatch rate of ducklings in incubators was significantly increase by sprinkling water mist over the incubating eggs twice a day when they were also turned (rotated)."²⁷

In 1930 Frederick Turner was elected first president of the Miranda branch of the Poultry Farmers' Union and Miranda's representative on the NSW Union's executive committee.²⁸ The following year he became president of the NSW Union.²⁹ Like Tildesley, Frederick Turner was a significant and active figure in the Poultry Farming Industry. He was a regular and successful exhibitor at poultry shows, and was responsible for the establishment of the White Campbell duck breed, bred from a white "sport" discovered in a hatch amongst his Khaki Campbells.³⁰

A photograph of Hotham Farm dated circa 1930's, shows the house and garden setting. (Fig 3.5) The house is surrounded by a picket fence and a lych gate, with a circular path and central flagpole. A broad set of stairs and central gablet mark entry to the verandah and house. The verandah features paired verandah posts with decorative brackets, on

²⁴ The Sydney Morning Herald (NSW: 1842 - 1954) Wednesday 20 June 1928 p.23 Advertising

²⁵ Weekly Times, Melbourne: 24 Nov 1928, p.34

²⁶ Jack Bouffier, notes made in 1999

²⁷ Hatherley, Kim: A History of Hotham House, January 2019

²⁸ The Farmer and Settler, 25 Oct 1930, p.3

²⁹ The Farmer and Settler, 28 Nov 1931, p.8

³⁰ The Farmer and Settler, 9 May 1947, p.16

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

a brick balustrade with painted panels. The hipped roof appears to be clad in metal sheet. The projecting billiard room disrupts the original symmetrical composition. The billiard room has a gabled roof with battened and shingled gable end and a pair of openings with three stained glass windows with highlights. To the rear a skillion roof brick wing terminates the verandah.

The house and farm are viewed against a backdrop of indigenous vegetation. The tennis court is visible below the house. Rows of poultry sheds to the north are located behind open timber paling fence, and accessed via a driveway immediately north of the fenced house garden. Beyond the yards there are more substantial farm buildings.

Jack Bouffier's recollections are from 1929, when his family moved Hotham Road, and became friends with the Turner children who had also recently arrived in Hotham Road.

Bouffier recalled Frederick Turner as "a man of high principles and strict moral values ... The kids were raised on the work ethic — each one knew what was required of them in the home and on the farm. May, the eldest, did all the office work, packed eggs, did deliveries and helped in the kitchen. John ... studied at Hurlstone Agricultural College and was later trained ... as a chicken sexer — the first in NSW. Fred looked after the ducks and ducklings. Jim worked with hens, chickens, cows and the horse. Gladys looked after the house, washing, cleaning and running the kitchen, cooking for the family and four employees, who slept in the back section of the house behind the ballroom. Ted helped his father on the farm and in the incubator room turning eggs. Mr. Turner ran the farm taking care of anything that no-one else had time to do."³¹

Bouffier noted, "As demand grew, the old, coke-fired boilers could not cope. In 1936, a larger, electric incubator was purchased from F. Gamble in Sydney. This had capacity for 45,000 duck eggs or 50,000 hen eggs. Egg turning was automated, taking 10-15 seconds. At this time, two truckloads of chicks and young ducklings left the farm each week, the chicks to be auctioned off to poultry farmers and the young ducklings, for the restaurant trade."³²

In 1938 the Railway Department resumed a portion of Hotham Farm for the Sutherland to Cronulla Railway line. The railway opened in 1939. An aerial photograph from 1930, shows the site prior to the construction of the railway through the property. The photograph shows the circular path at the front of the house and tennis court adjoining to the south. There is a driveway to the north of the house providing access to the farm buildings. The vegetated creek bed is evident and the farm sheds are located to the rear (west) and north of the house. (Fig 3.6)

Turner's wife Bridgette had been Catholic, and so Turner allowed the Church to use the Ballroom to hold parish dances and balls. This ceased with the onset of war and rationing.

Aerial photographs taken in 1943 show the farm layout during the war. (Figs 3.7 + 3.8) After the war, with none of the now adult children interested in continuing the farm, Turner sold Hotham Farm and retired to a small grazing property in Robertson.

JOSEPH KING (1946-1984)

In 1946 Lot 7 Sec 12 DP 801 was purchased by Joseph Horace King.³³ Joe King and his wife Chinita (Nita), moved to the property in the immediate post war period.

³¹ Jack Bouffier, notes made in 1999.

³² Jack Bouffier, notes made in 1999.

³³ Certificate of Title Vol 4249 Fol 246.

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

King built on Turners work to refine the breeding of White Campbell ducks.²⁴ Marjorie Hutton Neve described his efforts: *"Mr King brought to duck farming many innovations, and his study and experimentation resulted in increasing both production and generally raising the standard of brooding. Sydney Chinese were among his keenest buyers, saying that his white Campbell ducks had the most succulent flesh of any bird they had ever tasted; and this, Mr King considered, was the result of his discriminating and hygienic breeding. Although concentrating on Campbell ducks, Mr. King later added Leghorn and Australorp poultry for egg-production. Stock earned at the peak of farming would have been several thousand birds, all housed in hygienically built brick sheds and weed-free netted runs. A small creek on the property was slightly dammed and was kept filled by the overflow of a stream emanating from the nearby Punchbowl Brick & Tile Co. at Kirrawee. From the dam water was pumped up to a head-tank at the highest point on the farm — about where the railway pass-over is at Clements Parade. From here, gravity fed the water to various points on the farm."*²⁵

In 1947, the weekly newspaper "Poultry" featured King's Duck Farming innovations.²⁶ (Fig 3.9)

Hutton Neve noted that King also had white Saanen goats on the property. *"The first, a young nanny, was a gift from Mr. Turner as a 'grass-cutter'. The goats were not selective in their diets — grass, blackberries and Mrs. King's flowers, were all welcome meals."*²⁷ The presence of the goats, gazing at passers-by from the verandah of Hotham House, is remembered by current residents who were youngsters in the 1950's.²⁸

A 1950's photograph shows the façade, framed with a mixed flowering shrub border and the verandah somewhat overgrown with vines, but little changed. A house is just visible to the north on Hotham Ave. (Fig 3.10) The 1955 aerial photograph shows the layout of the farm little changes since the Turner period of occupation. (Fig 3.8, and Fig 3.11)

In 1956, Joseph King and Annie McIntyre subdivided the estate, and began to sell off lots for housing. Following the death of McIntyre that same year, Joseph King became sole proprietor. A photograph incorrectly dated 1920-40c, appears to show the ballroom after subdivision. (Fig 3.12)

According to Bouffier, King had the poultry sheds demolished with the bricks, timber and windows salvaged and sold as materials were in short supply. The sheds were removed between 1955 and 1961, when the development of King's subdivision was well underway. The 1961 aerial shows Bidural Avenue formed, and many lots fronting Avery and Bidural and President Avenues as well as Hotham Road developed with housing. The early internal access road is terminated with a garage located on the new rear boundary. (Fig 3.13) The 1970 aerial shows more consolidation, with Hotham House surrounded by houses to the north and west. The Hotham House lot extended south and west along President Avenue. (Fig 3.14)

In 1966, King applied to Council to erect a service station on the corner of President Avenue and Hotham Road. The application was refused.

In 1969, King approached Council with another scheme, this time for a Convalescent Hospital on Lots 24 and 24A DP 26995. Council gave approval in principle to this scheme. A photograph from the Council file shows the view from President Ave, and the south elevation of Hotham House is visible. (Fig 3.15)

²⁴ Hatherley Kim. "A History of Hotham House" January 2019

²⁵ M. Hutton Neve. "Hotham Farm and Duck Breeding." Sutherland Shire Historical Society Bulletin. October 1973. p.54

²⁶ Poultry. Vol 30 No 50. December 13, 1947. p.11

²⁷ M. Hutton Neve. "Hotham Farm and Duck Breeding." Sutherland Shire Historical Society Bulletin. October 1973. p.54

²⁸ Hatherley Kim. "A History of Hotham House" January 2019

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Also in 1969, Council issued King with an order to demolish the dilapidated brick and iron shed located south west of Hotham House. (Fig 3.16)

In 1971, John Tracy Hardiman agent for President Private Hospital, purchased Lots 24-26 DP 26999 from Joseph King.³⁹ Hardiman applied for Development Permission for a Private Hospital. Plans were prepared by Architects Leif Kristensen and Rick Le Plastrier, and consisted of a low scale building with domed roof forms which the Council did not approve. Amended plans with flat roof were submitted and approved in March 1972.⁴⁰

Joe King died in 1972, leaving the property to his widow Chirita King of Gynea, John Harvey and William Reginald King as joint tenants. The estate at this time included Lot 24A and lot 34 in DP 26995, and Lots 37, 38, 40, 63, A, B, C, D, E in DP 29493.

Later that year the several lots were sold to President Pty Ltd in October 1972. The estate of the late Joseph Horace King retained ownership of No. 65 (Lot 24A DP 24995) however King's widow, Nita is recorded as residing elsewhere.

In October 1972, architect Leif Kristensen on behalf of President PL made a new application to Sutherland Council to erect a one storey private surgical hospital on Lot 24, 25, 26 and 27 of DP 26995, adjoining the site of Hotham House. The application was refused by Council in December 1972, however amended plans were approved in February 1973.⁴¹

The hospital was under construction in August 1973, in cream brick with concrete roof, sitting low on the adjoining site. Hospital commenced operation in 1975. Numerous extensions to the hospital occurred from that time. In 1977, Council approved extensions to the operating theatre block, and in 1978, an X ray room was approved.⁴² further extensions to the hospital were approved. In 1979 to provide an additional theatre, recovery ward and sitting room.⁴³ An application for additional carparking was refused in 1986. Extensions to the Theatre block and Lounge were approved in 1981.⁴⁴

The aerial photo of 1978, shows the current allotment of Hotham House defined by a fence, separating the house from the newly constructed hospital. (Fig 3.17 + 3.18) Photos of the house from the south appears on the Council file (Fig 3.19 + 3.20).

The property was identified on the Council's first comprehensive heritage review, conducted in 1993 by consultants Perumal, Murphy and Wu. Number 65 Hotham Road, Gynea was identified as being of heritage significance as part of this study as a good example of a large, individually designed, Inter-War brick house. It was recommended that it be listed as a heritage item in the Local Environmental Plan. The heritage amendment was exhibited for public input and the then owner of the property objected to its inclusion as a heritage item. The report to Council in relation to the submission supported the owner's observation that there were a number of other Inter-War buildings on the heritage list. Without the benefit of a detailed heritage assessment, Council resolved not to pursue the heritage listing of the property.

MACQUARIE HEALTH (1994- present)

³⁹ VO 8343 Fol 769

⁴⁰ DC 333 67 71 SSGA

⁴¹ DC 333 67 71 SSGA

⁴² DC 333 67 71 SSGA

⁴³ DC 333 67-71 SSGA

⁴⁴ 4B 333 67 71 SSGA

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Hotham House was purchased by Macquarie Health on 10 December 1994. In 1995 Council refused an application by Macquarie Health for development of Physiotherapy and Sports Medicine Clinic at Hotham House ⁴⁵ (Fig 3 21). A ramp connecting the house with the hospital was proposed at the rear. Approval for a Sports Medicine Clinic at Hotham House was granted in 1996 ⁴⁶ (Fig 3 22, 3 23, 3 24).

A survey of the lot dated December 1998 shows the footprint of the house, original driveway and circular path and entry stairs. (Fig 3 25)

An amended application for a Sports Medicine Clinic was approved in 1999, along with a carparking area for 6 cars. A larger carpark and driveway was approved on the site of Hotham House in May 1999. ⁴⁷ A landscape plan was also prepared at this time, retaining the circular path and the garage. (Figs 3 26, 3 27)

The 2001 aerial shows this layout constructed. (Fig 3 28)

A series of alterations to the hospital occurred since 2001, some of which have impacted the setting of Hotham House. In December 2002, an application for further Alterations and Additions to the Hospital including new entry, internal alterations for Pace Property Management, by Kennedy Associates Architects was approved. ⁴⁸ In 2003, an application for Hydrotherapy pool carparking was submitted and approved under delegated authority. Open carpark to President Private Hospital Pace Property Management Services was approved ⁴⁹ (No 65 owned by President Private Hospital). In 2008, approval of Additions to President Private Hospital, new endoscopy unit. ⁵⁰ In 2009, approval of coffee shop, awning, roof replacement⁵¹ and in 2009 access driveways and carpark on President Ave were approved. ⁵²

In 2009, consolidation of Lot 1 DP 841502 and Lot 24A DP 26995 was proposed, but did not go ahead. By 2010, the carpark at the rear of Hotham House was extended to the north. (Fig 3 29)

The first version of the current plan, Sutherland Shire Local Environmental Plan 2015 was exhibited in March-April 2013. It did not contain any reference to 65 Hotham Road, GyMEA. A submission was received requesting the heritage listing of the property. Officers did not support the inclusion of the building because it was not supported by sufficient information regarding the significance of the item. However, Council resolved to include the building within the draft plan.

Version 2 of the LEP included 65 Hotham Road GyMEA as a draft heritage item, and was exhibited in August 2013. An Independent Review completed in August 2014 did not support the inclusion of 65 Hotham Road, GyMEA as a heritage item, stating (in part) *"It is not sufficient grounds for listing... that the owner of the property, or a member of the public, has requested it... The properties at ... 65 Hotham Road, Kirrawee should not be listed as heritage items, unless an expert report nominates the criteria that would justify the listing."* The plan was gazetted without 65 Hotham Road on 23 June 2015.

⁴⁵ DA 95 0671 SSCA

⁴⁶ DA 95 1139 SSCA

⁴⁷ BA99 0206 SSCA

⁴⁸ DA 02/2040 SSCA

⁴⁹ DA 02/1859 SSCA

⁵⁰ DA 08 0354 SSCA

⁵¹ DA 09/0732 SSCA

⁵² DA 09/0929 SSCA

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

In September 2018, Sutherland Council sought an interim Heritage Order to stop demolition of Hotham House, to enable Council to undertake a review of the heritage value of the property for possible listing on the LEP, and to engage in talks with Macquarie Health to seek preservation and adaptive reuse of the house

3.4 HISTORIC THEMES

NATIONAL	STATE	LOCAL
Developing local, regional and national economies	Agriculture- Activities relating to the cultivation and rearing of plant and animal species, usually for commercial purposes, can include aquaculture	Homestead to Hotham Farm, where under Tildesley, Turner and King, poultry breeding and raising was refined
	Events- Activities and processes that mark the consequences of natural and cultural occurrences	Congregation and Celebration in the Ballroom
	Pastoralism- Activities associated with the breeding, raising, processing and distribution of livestock for human use	Homestead to Hotham Farm, where under Tildesley, Turner and King, poultry breeding and raising was refined
Building settlements, towns and cities	Accommodation- Activities associated with the provision of accommodation, and particular types of accommodation	Grand Homestead of the Boom Years- First Phase Suburbanisation post 1911-
Developing Australia's cultural life	Domestic life- Activities associated with creating, maintaining, living and working around houses and institutions	Homestead
	Leisure- Activities associated with recreation and relaxation	Starr Bowkett Society events and parties (Tildesley Period) Parish Dances and Balls (Turner period)

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA**4. PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION****4.1. DESCRIPTION OF 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA**

A large single storey freestanding residence that dates from the late federation period, predating the vast majority of residential development in the precinct. The building displays features of the Federation Bungalow Style, and is set on a wide site that has been subdivided. Originally built on a rural 5-acre block, Hotham House is now located in a suburban setting adjoining President Hospital Buildings and has partially lost its historic context. The building is setback approximately 16 metres from the street. The site is unfenced, with a driveway on the north boundary accessing a rear parking area. The site is turfed and features a concrete circular path formerly stained red, with a central Norfolk Island Pine, and provides an appropriate setting for the structure. The view of the site has been developed as a bitumen carpark, and the hospital has extended onto the site at the south west corner. (Fig 4.1.1-4)

The façade presents an asymmetrical elevation with a tuck pointed facebrick finish on a common brick base course. A recessed panel with roughcast finish features below window groupings and timber balustrading. The roof is gabled and hipped with a medium pitch and has exposed eaves. The roof is clad in Decramastic metal roofing tile sheets, and features face brick chimneys with decorative brick corbelling and battened and shingled gable end details. A decorative timber verandah extends across the original façade and returns to the north side. The verandah features paired timber posts on brick piers with art nouveau timber detail consistent with its late federation period construction date. The entry is marked by a small gabled and central stair. A ballroom has been added to the south. The door is located centrally to the original form, and features sidelights and a fanlight. Fenestration comprises vertically proportioned timber frame casement windows, often grouped in three, with fine leadlight. The rear of the house has a utilitarian character, and comprises a number of additions, linked by a covered walkway accessing the hospital to the south. (Figs 4.1.5-13)

The interior features fine decorative plaster ceilings and cornices, decorative ventilators, timber mantelpieces, moulded architraves, picture rails and skirting boards. The ballroom features face brick wall finishes and a timber lined ceiling. (Figs 4.1.14-27)

4.2. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

There are no comparable residences in GyMEA that are listed as Heritage Items, only on GyMEA Bay was there significant development from this period. These are not relevant for comparison as the development generally does not relate to rural lots.

Considered below are Heritage Items beyond GyMEA, comparable either as a homestead on a Holt Sutherland leasehold, or as a fine example of a Federation or Interwar Style residence.

In Kirrawee, there is an 1894 cottage on 455 President Avenue, also built on Holt Sutherland leasehold 5-acre lot, however this predates Hotham House and is on the late Victorian style. It is also substantially built and detailed. (Fig 4.2.1)

Botany View house at 94 Acacia Avenue, Kirrawee, is comparable in that it is also an early homestead, also built on 5 acres offered for leasehold from the Holt Sutherland Estate. It is listed as a Heritage Item and is described as a rare example of an important typology in the area- the homestead. The site was a former orchard. However, Botany View is a simple vernacular weatherboard cottage in contrast to the grand scale and finishes of the subject house. (Fig 4.2.2)

Hazelhurst Cottage at 782-804 Kingsway, is included in a heritage listing, however the house dates from 1940's and is not comparable. (Fig 4.2.3)

The house at 16 Bellingara Road, Miranda is comparable, being built on a large rural lot (thought to have been an orchard) that was part of Holt Sutherland Estate, in the early Interwar period. The house is listed as a heritage item and

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

was owned by Ebenezer Vickery a lawyer and philanthropist. It is a fine, rare example of the Federation period and well known to the local community. (Fig 4.2.4)

189 Acacia Road, Kirrawee is also listed and this is a later date, built on the third subdivision of the Holt Sutherland Estate, -the Township of Sutherland. This residence is a fine example of California Bungalow with a high level of integrity, which lacks the historic values of Hotham house and does not represent a homestead. (Fig 4.2.5)

Walton, at 104 Toronto Parade, Sutherland, is built on a township subdivision, but has similarities in style to the residence at Hotham Road. The building is a fine example of the Federation style in Sutherland, with Arts and Crafts features. The building has a high level of integrity. The building demonstrates principal characteristics of Federation Bungalows in the Sutherland Shire. (Fig 4.2.6)

102 Toronto Parade, Sutherland, is also built on a township subdivision, but is a fine example of the Interwar California Bungalow and garden style. The property has important associations with Brinsley Joinery Works adjacent, and the detailing is particularly fine. The building is of a similar period to Hotham House. (Fig 4.2.7)

Lark Ellen Nursing Home at 133 Jannali Avenue, Sutherland is also built on Township lots however the building is a fine, but altered, example of the Interwar style with some classical features. The building is also well known to the local community; however, it represents a different but equally important typology- that of the grand residential estate. (Fig 4.2.8)

Castlewood at 89 Woollooware Road South, Woollooware is comparable as a substantial residence built at the same time c 1913, and occupied by a series of prominent and successful gentlemen. Castleden is a fine example of the Federation Bungalow style and is substantially intact. The house differs from Hotham House in that it was never a homestead, but was constructed on a residential rather than rural block. (Fig 4.2.9)

4.3 FEDERATION BUNGALOW STYLE

The Federation Bungalow style was the Australian response to the bungalow style that was developed in America by people like Gustav Stickley. It can be seen as a transition phase between the Federation Queen Anne style and the California Bungalow style that took on later. Stylistically, it exploited the qualities of the bungalow while frequently retaining the flair and idiosyncrasies of the Queen Anne style, although usually in simplified form. Exemplars are Cassa Tasso, Appian Way, Burwood, (Fig 4.3.1) and 85 Perouse Road, Randwick. (Fig 4.3.2)

Hotham House exhibits many characteristics of the Federation Bungalow style, including, simple massing, broad simple roof planes, a hipped and gabled roof with the main ridge parallel to the street, the main roof extending over verandah, verandah roof supported by sturdy timber posts, and tuck-pointed face brick and rough cast wall finished. The timber verandah detail and leadlight show art nouveau influence, features of the Queen Anne style.⁵³

⁵³ Apperly et al. Identifying Australian Architecture

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA**5. STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE****5.1 THE SIGNIFICANCE OF 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA****CRITERION A**

The house and site at 65 Hotham Road have **historic significance** at a local level for their ability to evidence an early poultry farm in the Sutherland Shire. It is significant for its associations with the early development of poultry farming. The site evidences the early leasehold rural development, and occupation of the 5 acre lots on the Holt Sutherland estate between Sutherland and Sylvania. The house was built as the homestead to Hotham Farm, where under Tildesley, Turner and King, significant advances were made in poultry breeding and raising.

The house provides a link to the time when poultry farming was the main primary industry in Sutherland Shire. Hotham Farm represents the most successful primary industry in the district, - a landmark business of local and state importance. Hotham House is a reminder of the importance and the relative prosperity of some poultry farmers at this time.⁵⁴

The house and its setting reflects the growing affluence of the area during the boom years, the first phase of suburbanisation post 1911. The dwelling, and particularly the ballroom, has **historic significance** at a local level for its ability to illustrate a way of life, and an aspect of social life in Sutherland at the beginning of WWII. Hotham House was an important social centre for local people in an isolated area. Originally built to host Starr Bowkett Society events and parties (Tildesley Period), the ballroom later accommodated Parish Dances and Balls (Turner period).

The house provides evidence of both the social and economic life of the time prior to the construction of the Sutherland/Cronulla railway branch line.

CRITERION B

The house has important **historic associational significance** through the associations with Hotham Farm, and with Arthur Tildesley, and later owners Frederick Turner and Joe King. Tildesley built the house and ballroom and established Hotham Farm, which was at one time the largest poultry farm in the state. Tildesley was well known locally before and after his incarceration. His court case attracted great interest in the press. Subsequent owners Turner and King made significant advances in poultry farming during their time at Hotham House and farm.

CRITERION C

The house and garden at 65 Hotham Road have **aesthetic significance** at a local level as a fine and substantial local example of a late Federation period house constructed in the Federation Bungalow style, in a garden setting. The Norfolk Island Pine and circular path contribute to the setting.

CRITERION D

The house has **social significance** at a local level, and is remembered well by many older residents, because of its former uses, and rarity. Some community groups have shown interest in the building and site. The history of the building has been recorded in historical society publications. The building was proposed for heritage listing prior to the demolition proposal.

CRITERION F

The house has **rarity significance** at a local level. Few original rural homesteads survive in the locality. The ballroom is a rare element on this type of residence and in the LGA.

CRITERION G

⁵⁴ Curby, Pauline. Submission to Council/DA.

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

The house and garden setting have **representative significance** at a local level as a fine example of substantial and intact federation bungalow

Integrity:

High, the evidence indicates that the building is substantially intact. The primary hipped and gabled roof form of the building is intact. The interior appears to be substantially intact other than the rear skillion wing, which has a lower integrity. The front garden layout is also intact.

GRADING OF SIGNIFICANCE

The significance attaches primarily to the original hipped roof residence with wrap around verandah and central stair, and to the gabled ballroom addition. The face brick and roughcast wall finishes and verandah detailing contribute to the significance. The fine interior detailing including decorative plaster ceilings, leadlight moulded timber joinery, and fireplace surrounds contribute to the significance. The setting of the house created by the front lawn with Central Norfolk Pine planting and circular path with side driveway is also of significance. The rear skillion wing is of little significance. The rear of the site contributes little to the heritage value.

5.2 CONCLUSION

The Assessment of Significance of "Hotham House", 65 Hotham Road, GyMEA, confirms that the house and garden meet the threshold for listing as a Heritage Item in the Local Environmental Plan.

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA**6. BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Apperley, Richard, Irving, Robert and Reynolds, Peter, "A Pictorial Guide to Identifying Australian Architectural Style and Terms from 1788 to the Present," Angus and Robertson 1989
- Hatherley, Kim "A History of Hotham House", January 2019 Submission to Council regarding DA 18/0788, 63-65 Hotham Road
- Jack Bouffier, notes, 1999. From 'History of Hotham Farm', compiled by Larry Humphrey, 2018
- Mick Derrey, "A.H. Tildesley's Hotham Poultry Farm", Sutherland Shire Historical Society Bulletin, November 1984, p 60
- M. Hutton Neve, "Hotham Farm and Duck Breeding," Sutherland Shire Historical Society Bulletin, October 1973, p 54
- "Origin of Suburbs" Sutherland Shire Council, 2018. www.sutherlandshire.nsw.gov.au. Retrieved 11 February 2019.
- "Sutherland Shire Street Names A-Z", August 2009 www.sutherlandshire.nsw.gov.au. Retrieved 11 February 2019
- Watt, Bruce "The Shire a journey through time" Bruce Watt, [Cronulla, New South Wales], 2014
- Perumal Murphy Wu, "Sutherland Shire Heritage Study", 1992
- Curby, Pauline, Submission to Council on behalf Sutherland Shire Historical Society regarding DA 18/0788, 63-65 Hotham Road, 7 August 2018

Newspaper/Journals

- Australian Town and Country Journal (Sydney, NSW: 1870 - 1907) Sat 1 Oct 1898 Page 25 THE CULTIVATOR
- Group Settlement Chronicle and Margaret-Augusta Mail (Busselton, WA: 1923 - 1930) Tue 26 Jan 1926 Page 2 A MAMMOTH POULTRY FARM
- The Australasian (Melbourne, Vic.: 1864 - 1946) Sat 18 Oct 1924 Page 18 Advertising
- The Daily Telegraph (Sydney, NSW: 1883 - 1930) 20 Feb 1894, p7
- The Daily Telegraph (Sydney, NSW: 1883 - 1930) Saturday 7 March 1925 p 7 Advertising
- The Farmer and Settler, 28 Nov 1931, p 8
- The Farmer and Settler, 9 May 1947, p 16
- Truth, 14 August 1927
- The Register (Adelaide, SA: 1901 - 1929) Fri 26 Feb 1926 Page 14, POULTRY INDUSTRY
- The Sydney Morning Herald (NSW: 1842 - 1954) 1 July 1927, p8
- The Sydney Morning Herald (NSW: 1842 - 1954) Wednesday 20 June 1928 p 23 Advertising
- Newcastle Morning Herald and Miners' Advocate (NSW: 1876 - 1954) Thu 27 Jun 1907 Page 3 DISTRICT NEWS
- NSW Government Gazette No 21 of 7 February 1856 folios 411-3
- Poultry, Vol 30 No 50, December 13, 1947, p1
- Weekly Times, Melbourne, 24 Nov 1928, p 34

Land Title Documents, NSW Land Registry Services

- Certificate of Title, Vol 2597 Fol 159
- Certificate of Title, Vol 4949 Fol 245-246
- Certificate of Title, Vol 8343 Fol 769
- Certificates of Title, Vol 11819 Fol 42-45
- Lease A147595

Sutherland Shire Council Archives- Property Files

- DC 333 67 71, 48 333 67 71
- DA 95 0671
- DA 95 113
- BA 99 0206
- DA 02/2040

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

DA 02/1859
DA 08 0354
DA 09/0732
DA 09/0929

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

7. LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA**7. LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS**

Fig NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	SOURCE
1.1	2019	Location Plan	Google Maps
1.2	2019	Current Aerial Photo	Google Maps
3.1	1886c	Deposited Plan 801	New Land Registry Services
3.2	1915	Certificate of Title 2597-159	New Land Registry Services
3.3	1927	Albert Henry Tildesley, Gaol Photographs	NSW State Archives, NRS 2467
3.4	1928c	Turner Family	Hatherley Report, Sutherland Shire Council Archives
3.5	1930's	Hotham House	The Leader, 18.9.2018
3.6	1930c	Aerial Photograph	Sutherland Shire Council
3.7	1943	Aerial Photograph	SIX Maps
3.8	1943	Aerial Photograph, detail	SIX Maps
3.9	1947	Weaning Pens on "Hotham" Poultry Farm	Poultry Vol 30, no 50., December 13, 1947, p1.
3.10	1950c	Poultry Farm Homestead, Hotham Road, Kirrawee (incorrectly dated)	Sutherland Shire Council MF003297
3.11	1955	Aerial Photograph	Sutherland Shire Council
3.12	1920-40c.	House in Hotham Road, Kirrawee Picture Sutherland Shire (incorrectly dated)	Picture Sutherland Shire MF003949
3.13	1961	Aerial Photograph	Sutherland Shire Council
3.14	1970	Aerial Photograph	Sutherland Shire Council
3.15	1969	View from President Ave, Hotham House on left	DC 333 67 71 Sutherland Shire Council Archives

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMERE

Fig NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	SOURCE
3.16	1969	Brick Shed on Hotham Farm, demolished in 1970's	DC 333 67 71 Sutherland Shire Council Archives
3.17	1978	Aerial Photography	Sutherland Shire Council
3.18	1984	Aerial Photography	Sutherland Shire Council
3.19	1981	View from West, Hotham House in background	DC 333 67 71, Sutherland Shire Council Archives
3.20	1984	View of west (rear) elevation, from President Hospital	DC 333 67 71, Sutherland Shire Council Archives
3.21	1995	Proposed Sport Medicine Clinic (May)	DA950671, Sutherland Shire Council Archives
3.22	1995	Proposed Sport Medicine Clinic (September)	DA PR3081, Sutherland Shire Council Archives
3.23	1995	Proposed Sport Medicine Clinic (September)	DA PR3081, Sutherland Shire Council Archives
3.24	1995	Proposed Sport Medicine Clinic (September)	DA PR3081, Sutherland Shire Council Archives
3.25	1998	Survey, Watson Buchan. Pl.	BA990206, Sutherland Shire Council Archives
3.26	1998	Proposed parking area, Mirandraft	BA990206, Sutherland Shire Council Archives
3.27	1999	Landscape Plan, Brian Lovelock & Assoc.	BA990206, Sutherland Shire Council Archives
3.28	2001	Aerial Photograph	Sutherland Shire Council
3.29	2010	Aerial Photograph	Sutherland Shire Council
4.1.1	2019	Streetscape view from North	AP IMG 6925

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, SYMEA

Fig NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	SOURCE
4.1.2	2019	Streetscape view from South	AP IMG 6931
4.1.3	2019	Driveway at Hotham House	AP IMG 6926
4.1.4	2019	Central path and Norfolk Pine	AP IMG 6928
4.1.5	2019	Hotham House, east elevation	AP IMG 6929
4.1.6	2018	Hotham House, east elevation, verandah	Sutherland Shire Council
4.1.7	2018	Hotham House, north elevation	Sutherland Shire Council
4.1.8	2018	Hotham House, south elevation	Sutherland Shire Council
4.1.9	2018	Hotham House, Ballroom Wing	Sutherland Shire Council
4.1.10	2018	Hotham House, Verandah Detail	Sutherland Shire Council
4.1.11	2018	Hotham House, Verandah Detail	Sutherland Shire Council
4.1.12	2018	Hotham House, Lead light	Sutherland Shire Council
4.1.13	2018	Hotham House, Lead light, Ballroom	Sutherland Shire Council
4.1.14	2018	Interior, Entry Hall	Sutherland Shire Council
4.1.15	2018	Interior, Ceiling	Sutherland Shire Council
4.1.16	2018	Interior, Office	Sutherland Shire Council
4.1.17	2018	Interior, Office, lead light	Sutherland Shire Council
4.1.18	2018	Interior, Ceiling detail	Sutherland Shire Council
4.1.19	2018	Interior, Door hardware	Sutherland Shire Council



1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	SOURCE
4.1.20	2018	Interior, Lounge	Sutherland Shire Council
4.1.21	2018	Interior, Lounge	Sutherland Shire Council
4.1.22	2018	Interior, Consulting	Sutherland Shire Council
4.1.23	2018	Interior, Dining	Sutherland Shire Council
4.1.24	2018	Interior, Ballroom	Sutherland Shire Council
4.1.25	2018	Interior Ballroom, Fireplace	Sutherland Shire Council
4.1.26	2018	Interior, Ballroom Ceiling	Sutherland Shire Council
4.1.27	2018	Interior, Door to utility	Sutherland Shire Council
4.2.1	2013	455 President Avenue, Kirrawee	State Heritage Inventory
4.2.2	2013	Botany View House, 94 Acacia Avenue, Kirrawee	State Heritage Inventory
4.2.3	2013	Hazelhurst Cottage, 782-804 Kingsway, GyMEA	State Heritage Inventory
4.2.4	2013	16 Bellingava Road, Miranda	State Heritage Inventory
4.2.5	2013	184 Acacia Road, Kirrawee	State Heritage Inventory
4.2.6	2013	Walton, 104 Toronto Parade, Sutherland	State Heritage Inventory
4.2.7	2013	102 Toronto Parade, Sutherland	State Heritage Inventory
4.2.8	2013	Lark Ellen, 133 Janali Avenue, Sutherland	State Heritage Inventory

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMCA

Fig NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	SOURCE
4.2.9	2013	Castlewood, 89 Woolloowave Road, South	State Heritage Inventory
4.3.1	2008	Casa Tasso, Appian Way, Burwood	Federation Architecture, Wikipedia
4.3.2	2013	85 Perouse Road Randwick	Federation Architecture, Wikipedia

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA



©Architecture Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 F1_illus_01-07_20190304.d

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 3 1

1886c

Deposited Plan 801

New Land Registry
Services

©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810-01 P1_rus_01-07_20190304.d


1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 3 2

1915

Certificate of Title 2597-159

New Land Registry
Services



1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 3.3	1927	Albert Henry Tildesley, Gaol Photographs	NSW State Archives, NRS 2467
-------------	------	--	------------------------------



Fig no. 3.4	1928c	Turner Family	Hatherley Report, Sutherland Shire Council Archives
-------------	-------	---------------	---



©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 #1_kus_01-07_20190304dc

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 3.5

1930's

Hotham House

The Leader,
18.9.2018



Fig no. 3.6

1930c.

Aerial Photograph

Sutherland Shire
Council



CAArchitectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 81_Rev_01-07_20190304a

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 3.7

1943

Aerial Photograph

SIX Maps



©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 #1, Mus, 0107, 20190304A

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 3.8

1943

Aerial Photograph, detail

SIX Maps



Fig no. 3.9

1947

Weaning Pens on "Hotham" Poultry Farm

Poultry Vol 30, no
50, December
13, 1947, p1.



©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 R1, Run, v01-07, 20190304a

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 3.10	1950c	Poultry Farm Homestead, Hotham Road, Kirrawee (incorrectly dated)	Sutherland Shire Council MF003297
--------------	-------	--	---



Fig no. 3.11	1955	Aerial Photograph	Sutherland Shire Council
--------------	------	-------------------	-----------------------------



©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 F1_dkns_001-07_20190304a

1920-40c.

Picture Sutherland
Shire MF003949



1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 3.13 | 1961 | Aerial Photograph

Sutherland Shire Council



Fig no. 3.14 | 1970 | Aerial Photograph

Sutherland Shire Council



©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 R1, issue 01/07, 20190304a

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 3.15

1969

View from President Ave, Hotham House on left

DC 333 67 71
Sutherland Shire
Council Archives

Fig no. 3.16

1969

Brick Shed on Hotham Farm, demolished in 1970's

DC 333 67 71
Sutherland Shire
Council Archives

©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 81 - illus_001-07_20190304.doc

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 3.17 | 1978 | Aerial Photography

Sutherland Shire
Council



Fig no. 3.18 | 1984 | Aerial Photography

Sutherland Shire
Council



©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810.01.81, 06/07/2019 0046.

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 3.19

1981

View from west, Hotham House in background

DC 333 67 71,
Sutherland Shire
Council Archives



Fig no. 3.20

1984

View of west (rear) elevation, from President Hospital


DC 333 67 71,
Sutherland Shire
Council Archives



©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 81_000_01-07_20190304a

1995

DA950671,
Sutherland Shire
Council Archives




1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 3 22

1995

Proposed Sport Medicine Clinic (September)


DA PR3081,
Sutherland Shire
Council Archives

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 3 23

1995

Proposed Sport Medicine Clinic (September)


DA PR3081,
Sutherland Shire
Council Archives

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 3.24

1995

Proposed Sport Medicine Clinic (September)

DA PR3081,
Sutherland Shire
Council Archives


1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 3 25

1998

Survey, Watson Buchan, Pl.

BA990206,
Sutherland Shire
Council Archives




1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA


Fig no. 3.26

1998

Proposed parking area, Mirandraft

BA990206,
Sutherland Shire
Council Archives

BA990206,
Sutherland Shire
Council Archives



1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 3.28 | 2001 | Aerial Photograph

Sutherland Shire
Council



Fig no. 3.29 | 2010 | Aerial Photograph

Sutherland Shire
Council



©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 R1_illus_v01/07_20190904a

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 4.1.1

2019

Streetscape view from North

AP IMG 6925



Fig no. 4.1.2

2019

Streetscape view from South

AP IMG 6931



©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 R1_19us_01107_20190304.doc

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 4.1.3

2019

Driveway at Hotham House

AP IMG 6926



Fig no. 4.1.4

2019

Central path and Norfolk Pine

AP IMG 6928



©Architecture Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 R1_Rui_v01r07_30190308dx

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA


Fig no. 4.1.5 2019 Hotham House, east elevation

AP IMG 6929



Fig no. 4.1.6 2018 Hotham House, east elevation, verandah

Sutherland Shire Council



©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 R1_11111_v01-07_20190304.dwg

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 4.1.7 | 2018 | Hotham House, north elevation

Sutherland Shire
Council



Fig no. 4.1.8 | 2018 | Hotham House, south elevation

Sutherland Shire
Council



©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 R1_Rev_01/07_20190304.pdf

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 4.1.9 | 2018 | Hotham House, Ballroom Wing

Sutherland Shire
Council



Fig no. 4.1.10 | 2018 | Hotham House, Verandah Detail

Sutherland Shire
Council



©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 81_1810_01_07_20190304.d

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 4.1.11 2018 Hotham House, Verandah Detail

Sutherland Shire Council



Fig no. 4.1.12 2018 Hotham House, Lead light

Sutherland Shire Council



©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 B1_1810_01_07_20190304.doc

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 4.1.13 | 2018 | Hotham House, Lead light, Ballroom

Sutherland Shire
Council

Fig no. 4.1.14 | 2018 | Interior, Entry Hall

Sutherland Shire
Council

©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 R1_illus_v01r07_20190304.d

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 4.1.15 | 2018 | Interior, Ceiling

Sutherland Shire Council



Fig no. 4.1.16 | 2018 | Interior, Office

Sutherland Shire Council



©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 R1_illus_v01n07_20190304.doc

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 4.1.17 2018 Interior, Office, lead light

Sutherland Shire Council



Fig no. 4.1.18 2018 Interior, Ceiling detail

Sutherland Shire Council



©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 P1_Rus_01107_0190304.doc

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 4.1.19 2018 Interior, Door hardware

Sutherland Shire Council



Fig no. 4.1.20 2018 Interior, Lounge

Sutherland Shire Council



©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 R1_000_001_01_00304a1

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 4.1.21 2018 Interior, Lounge

Sutherland Shire Council




Fig no. 4.1.22 2018 Interior, Consulting

Sutherland Shire Council



©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 R11_Rus_v01-07_20190304.dwg

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 4.1.23 2018 Interior, Dining

Sutherland Shire Council



Fig no. 4.1.24 2018 Interior, Ballroom

Sutherland Shire Council



©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810.01 E1_18us_v01r07_301903049r

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 4.1.25 2018 Interior Ballroom, Fireplace

Sutherland Shire Council



Fig no. 4.1.26 2018 Interior, Ballroom Ceiling

Sutherland Shire Council



©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 R1_illus_v01107_20190304.doc

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 4.1.27 2018 Interior, Door to utility

Sutherland Shire
Council



©Architecture Projects Pty Limited - 1810-01-81_illus_01/07_20190304.dwg

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 4.2.1 | 2013 | 455 President Avenue, Kirrawee

State Heritage
Inventory

Fig no. 4.2.2 | 2013 | Botany View House, 94 Acacia Avenue, Kirrawee

State Heritage
Inventory

©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 R1_Rus_001-07_0190304a

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 4.2.3

2013


Hazelhurst Cottage, 782-804 Kingsway, GyMEA

State Heritage
Inventory

Fig no. 4.2.4

2013

16 Bellingava Road, Miranda

State Heritage
Inventory

©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 81_illus_v01107_20190304.doc

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 4.2.5 | 2013 | 184 Acacia Road, Kirrawee

State Heritage
Inventory

Fig no. 4.2.6 | 2013 | Walton, 104 Toronto Parade, Sutherland

State Heritage
Inventory

©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 81_illus_v01r07_20190304.d

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 4.2.7 | 2013 | 102 Toronto Parade, Sutherland

State Heritage
Inventory



Fig no. 4.2.8 | 2013 | Lark Ellen, 133 Janali Avenue, Sutherland

State Heritage
Inventory



©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 81_Rus_10107_201903049

1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 4.2.9	2013	Castlewood, 89 Woolloowave Road, South	State Heritage Inventory
---------------	------	--	--------------------------



1810 | 65 HOTHAM ROAD, GYMEA

Fig no. 4.3.1

2008

Casa Tasso, Appian Way, Burwood

Federation
Architecture,
Wikipedia

Fig no. 4.3.2

2013

85 Perouse Road Randwick, Federation Architecture,

Federation
Architecture,
Wikipedia

©Architectural Projects Pty Limited - 1810 01 R1_1bus_01-07_20190304a

APPENDIX 'B'

Sutherland Shire Council

Planning Proposal

Sutherland Shire Local Environmental Plan
2015 Amendment:
Local Heritage listing of 65 Hotham Road
Gymea (Lot 24A DP 26995)

March 2019

Part 1 – A statement of the objectives and intended outcomes of the proposed instrument

This Planning Proposal seeks to amend the Sutherland Shire Local Environmental Plan 2015, Schedule 5 Environmental heritage (and associated mapping), to designate the house at 65 Hotham Road Gymea as a Local Heritage item in the Sutherland Shire.

Council is willing to exercise an authorisation to delegate the plan making function for this planning proposal, should such a delegation be issued as part of the Gateway determination. The evaluation criteria for the issuing of an authorisation is attached as Appendix 1.

Part 2 – An explanation of the provisions that are to be included in the proposed instrument

SSLEP2015 is to be amended as follows:

Add the following to Schedule 5- Environmental Heritage, Part 1 Heritage Items

"Suburb: Gymea

Item Name: 'Hotham House' – house and garden

Address: 65 Hotham Road Gymea

Property description: Lot 24A DP 26995

Significance: Local

Significance item no: 1510"

The maps are to be amended as follows:

Amendment
Heritage Map
Designate 65 Hotham Road Gymea as a heritage item

Part 3 – The justification for those objectives, outcomes and the process for their implementation

Section A - Need for the planning proposal

Q1. Is the planning proposal a result of any strategic study or report?

The planning proposal is the result of a detailed assessment of the heritage significance of the house at 65 Hotham Road GyMEA. The report by Architectural Projects Pty Ltd, recommending that the item is listed as an item of local heritage significance in Sutherland Shire, is attached.

The property at 65 Hotham Road, zoned SP1 Health Services Facility, is part of the President Private Hospital and is used by the hospital. The subject site (1625.74 sqm) is occupied by a house, garden and hospital car park.

Council's first comprehensive heritage review was conducted in 1993 by consultants Perumal, Murphy Wu. The house at 65 Hotham Road GyMEA was evaluated in that review as having local heritage significance, being a good example of a large individually designed, Inter-War brick house. However, Council did not list the property at that time at the request of the then owner.

The house was again proposed to be heritage listed in SSLEP2015. A submission was received by the then owner of the land objecting to the proposed heritage listing. Given lack of detailed heritage analysis, its inclusion in the LEP was not supported by the Independent Panel who conducted a review of Version 2 of the LEP. The listing was not pursued by Council and the house was not listed as a local heritage item for the initial gazettal of SSLEP2015.

A development application in 2018 for works to the President Private Hospital (DA18/0788) included the demolition of the house at 65 Hotham Road GyMEA. During public consultation for DA18/0788, Council received 84 submissions objecting to the demolition of the house because its local historical significance, with some submissions providing details of the history of the property as a poultry farm considered important to the history of the area.

A preliminary heritage assessment was prepared by Council's Senior Heritage Architect. The report concluded that the house is likely to be of local heritage significance. Council resolved (PLN049-18) to apply an Interim Heritage Order to the house, which was published in the NSW Government Gazette on 23 November 2018.

Council also resolved to commission a detailed heritage assessment to be done by a heritage consultant. Architectural Projects Pty Ltd (Jennifer Hill Director) was appointed to do the detailed assessment to determine if the item warranted statutory listing.

Q2. Is the planning proposal the best means of achieving the objectives or intended outcomes, or is there a better way?

The planning proposal is the only means to achieve the intended outcome. An amendment to SSLEP2015 is required to heritage list the item.

Section B – Relationship to strategic planning framework

Q3. Is the planning proposal consistent with the objectives and actions of the applicable regional, sub-regional or district plan or strategy (including any exhibited draft plans or strategies)?

Assessment Criteria

a) Does the proposal have strategic merit? It is:

- Consistent with the relevant regional plan outside of the Greater Sydney Region, the relevant district plan within the Greater Sydney Region, or corridor/precinct plans applying to the site, including any draft regional, district or corridor/precinct plans released for public comment; or
- Consistent with a relevant local council strategy that has been endorsed by the Department; or
- Responding to a change in circumstances, such as the investment in new infrastructure or changing demographic trends that have not been recognised by existing planning controls.

Yes, the proposed amendment is consistent with the liveability objective in *The Greater Sydney Region Plan: A Metropolis of Three Cities*, that "Environmental heritage is identified, conserved and enhanced". The strategy is to do this by

- "engaging with the community early in the planning process to understand heritage values and how they contribute to the significance of the place
- Applying adoptive re-use and interpreting heritage to foster distinctive local places
- Managing and monitoring the cumulative impact of development on the heritage values and character of places".

The proposed amendment is consistent with *South District Plan* Planning Priority S6: "Creating and renewing great places and local centres, and respecting the District's heritage".

b) Does the proposal have site specific merit, having regard to the following:

- The natural environment (including known significant environmental values, resources or hazards) and
- The existing uses, approved uses, and likely future uses of land in the vicinity of the proposal and
- The services and infrastructure that are or will be available to meet the demands arising from the proposal and any proposed financial arrangements for infrastructure provision.

The property at 65 Hotham Road Gymea which is proposed for heritage listing is owned by President Private Hospital Pty Ltd (part of Macquarie Health Corporation) and the house and site are used as part of the hospital. Heritage listing of the house does not prevent the ongoing use of the building and grounds by the President Private Hospital. With development approval, alterations and

additions which were assessed as retaining the heritage value of the house could also be undertaken.

Q4. Is the planning proposal consistent with a council's local strategy or other local strategic plan?

The planning proposal is consistent with the aim and strategies of Council's Community Strategic Plan: *Our Community Plan* as follows:

"Outcome 4: Sutherland Shire: A culturally rich and vibrant community", and

"Strategy 4.1 Create and strengthen community connections through shared cultural experiences"

The planning proposal helps to protect the cultural heritage of the Sutherland Shire. This preserves opportunities for the community to connect to the history of the Sutherland Shire and others in the community by a shared culture.

"Strategy 4.1.1 Identify and appreciate places, spaces and stories that contribute to our Sutherland Shire identity".

The planning proposal is consistent with this strategy as it identifies and allows ongoing preservation of a place and its stories, hence contributing to the identity of the people of the Sutherland Shire.

Q5. Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable State Environmental Planning Policies?

Yes the planning proposal is generally consistent with relevant State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and deemed SEPPs.

SEPP	Relevance to Planning Proposal	Planning Proposal Consistency with SEPP?
State Environmental Planning Policy No 1—Development Standards	None - Does not apply to land under SSLEP2015	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy No 19—Bushland in Urban Areas	None. No provisions of the Planning Proposal affect the protection of urban bushland.	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy No 21—Caravan Parks	None. No provisions of the Planning Proposal affect development for the purposes of caravan parks.	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy	None. No provisions of the Planning Proposal affect development for the purposes of intensive agriculture.	N/A

No 30—Intensive Agriculture		
State Environmental Planning Policy No 33—Hazardous and Offensive Development	None. No provisions of the Planning Proposal affect development for the purposes of hazardous or offensive development.	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy No 36—Manufactured Home Estates	None - Does not apply to land under SSLEP2015	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy No 44—Koala Habitat Protection	None - Does not apply to land under SSLEP2015	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy No 47—Moore Park Showground	None - Does not apply to land under SSLEP2015	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy No 50—Canal Estate Development	None. No provisions of the Planning Proposal affect development for the purposes of Canal Estates.	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy No 52—Farm Dams and Other Works in Land and Water Management Plan Areas	None - Does not apply to land under SSLEP2015	N/A

State Environmental Planning Policy No 55—Remediation of Land	Provides a Statewide approach to regulation of contaminated land and its remediation. Specific requirements for consideration of planning proposals which seeks to rezone contaminated lands. The Planning Proposal does not seek to materially change the development potential of any land which is known to be contaminated.	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy No 62—Sustainable Aquaculture	None. No provisions of the Planning Proposal affect development for the purposes of Sustainable Aquaculture.	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy No 64—Advertising and Signage	None. No provisions of the Planning Proposal affect development for the purposes of Advertising and Signage.	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy No 65—Design Quality of Residential Apartment Development	None. No provisions of the Planning Proposal affect development for the purposes of Residential Apartments.	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy No 70—Affordable Housing (Revised Schemes)	None. No provisions of the Planning Proposal affect development for the purposes of affordable housing.	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009	None. No provisions of the Planning Proposal affect development for the purposes of affordable rental housing.	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy (Building Sustainability	None. No provisions of the Planning Proposal affect the environmental performance characteristics of residential dwellings.	N/A

Index: BASIX) 2004		
State Environmental Planning Policy (Coastal Management) 2018	None. No provisions of the Planning Proposal seek to introduce controls which would conflict with the Coastal Management SEPP.	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy (Educational Establishments and Child Care Facilities) 2017	None. No provisions of the Planning Proposal affect development for the purposes of educational establishments or child care facilities.	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008	This planning proposal does recommend changes to heritage items including changes to heritage mapping and items. These changes will affect the rights of land owners to undertake exempt and complying development.	Yes – The restrictions on exempt and complying development applied by the SEPP are intended to protect the integrity of heritage items.
State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004	None. No provisions of the Planning Proposal affect development for the purposes of housing for seniors or people with a disability.	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy (Infrastructure) 2007	None. No provisions of the Planning Proposal affect development for the purposes of infrastructure.	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy (Kosciuszko National Park— Alpine Resorts) 2007	None - Does not apply to land under SSLEP2015	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy (Kurnell Peninsula) 1989	None - Does not apply to land under SSLEP2015	N/A

State Environmental Planning Policy (Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries) 2007	None. No provisions of the Planning Proposal affect development for the purposes of mining, petroleum production and extractive industries.	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy (Miscellaneous Consent Provisions) 2007	None. No provisions of the Planning Proposal affect development for the purposes regulated under this SEPP.	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy (Penrith Lakes Scheme) 1989	None - Does not apply to land under SSLEP2015	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy (Rural Lands) 2008	None - Does not apply to land under SSLEP2015	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy (State and Regional Development) 2011	None. No provisions of the Planning Proposal affect development classed as State Significant Development or Regional Development.	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy (State Significant Precincts) 2005	None. No provisions of the Planning Proposal affect projects or sites regulated under this SEPP.	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy (Sydney Drinking Water Catchment) 2011	None. No provisions of the Planning Proposal affect projects or sites regulated under this SEPP.	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy (Sydney Region Growth Centres) 2006	None - Does not apply to land under SSLEP2015	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy	None - Does not apply to land under SSLEP2015	N/A

(Three Ports) 2013		
State Environmental Planning Policy (Urban Renewal) 2010	None - Does not apply to land under SSLEP2015	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy (Vegetation in Non-Rural Areas) 2017	None – Does not affect provisions around tree removal under SSLEP2015.	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy (Western Sydney Employment Area) 2009	None - Does not apply to land under SSLEP2015	N/A
State Environmental Planning Policy (Western Sydney Parklands) 2009	None - Does not apply to land under SSLEP2015	N/A
Sydney Regional Environmental Plan No 9— Extractive Industry (No 2— 1995)	None. No provisions of the Planning Proposal affect development for the purposes of extractive industries.	N/A
Greater Metropolitan Regional Environmental Plan No 2— Georges River Catchment	None. No provisions of the Planning Proposal materially affect development in the Georges River Catchment.	N/A

Q6. Is the planning proposal consistent with applicable Ministerial Directions (s.117 and s.9.1 directions)?

Yes the planning proposal is generally consistent with the applicable s.117 and s9.1 Ministerial Directions.

PLANNING DIRECTION	PLANNING PROPOSAL RELEVANCE	IS THE PLANNING PROPOSAL CONSISTENT?
1. Employment and Resources		
1.1 Business and Industrial Zones The objectives of this direction are to: (a) encourage employment growth in suitable locations, (b) protect employment land in business and industrial zones, and (c) support the viability of identified strategic centres.	The subject site is not a business or industrial zone, so this direction is not of direct relevance.	The site is zoned SP1 Health Services Facility and is currently used as part of the President Private Hospital, so provides employment. Local heritage listing of the house will prevent demolition of the house and limit the redevelopment potential of the lot. However, the use of the subject site as part of the private hospital is unaffected.
1.2 Rural Zones The objective of this direction is to protect the agricultural production value of rural land.	N/A	
1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries The objective of this direction is to ensure that the future extraction of State or regionally significant reserves of coal, other minerals, petroleum and extractive materials are not compromised by inappropriate development.	N/A	
1.4 Oyster Aquaculture The objectives of this direction are: (a) to ensure that Priority Oyster Aquaculture Areas and oyster aquaculture outside such an area are adequately considered when preparing a planning proposal, (b) to protect Priority Oyster Aquaculture Areas and oyster aquaculture outside such an area from land uses that may result in adverse impacts on water quality and consequently, on the health of oysters and oyster consumers.	N/A	
1.5 Rural Lands The objectives of this direction are to: (a) protect the agricultural production value of rural land, (b) facilitate the orderly and economic development of rural lands for rural and related purposes.	N/A	
Environment and Heritage		
1.6 Environment Protection Zones The objective of this direction is to protect and conserve environmentally sensitive areas.	N/A	
1.7 Coastal Protection The objective of this direction is to implement the principles in the NSW Coastal Policy.	N/A	
1.8 Heritage Conservation The objective of this direction is to conserve items, areas, objects and places of environmental heritage significance and indigenous heritage significance.	Yes	The purpose of the proposed amendment is to conserve an item with heritage significance, so it is consistent with this direction.
1.9 Recreation Vehicle Areas The objective of this direction is to protect sensitive land or land with significant conservation values from adverse impacts from recreation vehicles.	N/A	

PLANNING DIRECTION	PLANNING PROPOSAL RELEVANCE	IS THE PLANNING PROPOSAL CONSISTENT?
1.10 Application of E2 and E3 Zones and Environmental Overlays in Far North Coast LEPs	N/A	
Housing, Infrastructure and Urban Development		
1.11 Residential Zones The objectives of this direction are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) to encourage a variety and choice of housing types to provide for existing and future housing needs, (b) to make efficient use of existing infrastructure and services and ensure that new housing has appropriate access to infrastructure and services, and (c) to minimise the impact of residential development on the environment and resource lands. 	N/A	
1.12 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates The objectives of this direction are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) to provide for a variety of housing types, and (b) to provide opportunities for caravan parks and manufactured home estates. 	N/A	
1.13 Home Occupations The objective of this direction is to encourage the carrying out of low-impact small businesses in dwelling houses.	N/A	
1.14 Integrating Land Use and Transport The objective of this direction is to ensure that urban structures, building forms, land use locations, development designs, subdivision and street layouts achieve the following planning objectives: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) improving access to housing, jobs and services by walking, cycling and public transport, and (b) increasing the choice of available transport and reducing dependence on cars, and (c) reducing travel demand including the number of trips generated by development and the distances travelled, especially by car, and (d) supporting the efficient and viable operation of public transport services, and (e) providing for the efficient movement of freight. 	N/A	
1.15 Development Near Licensed Aerodromes The objectives of this direction are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) to ensure the effective and safe operation of aerodromes, and (b) to ensure that their operation is not compromised by development that constitutes an obstruction, hazard or potential hazard to aircraft flying in the vicinity, and (c) to ensure development for residential purposes or human occupation, if situated on land within the Australian Noise Exposure Forecast (ANEF) contours of between 20 and 25, incorporates appropriate mitigation measures so that the 	N/A	

PLANNING DIRECTION	PLANNING PROPOSAL RELEVANCE	IS THE PLANNING PROPOSAL CONSISTENT?
development is not adversely affected by aircraft noise.		
1.16 Shooting Ranges The objectives of the planning direction are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) to maintain appropriate levels of public safety and amenity when rezoning land adjacent to an existing shooting range, (b) to reduce land use conflict arising between existing shooting ranges and rezoning of adjacent land, (c) to identify issues that must be addressed when giving consideration to rezoning land adjacent to an existing shooting range 	N/A	
Hazard and Risk		
2.1 Acid Sulfate Soils The objective of this direction is to avoid significant adverse environmental impacts from the use of land that has a probability of containing acid sulfate soils.	N/A	Land unaffected
2.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land	N/A	
2.3 Flood Prone Land The objectives of this direction are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) to ensure that development of flood prone land is consistent with the NSW Government's Flood Prone Land Policy and the principles of the <i>Floodplain Development Manual 2005</i>, and b) to ensure that the provisions of an LEP on flood prone land is commensurate with flood hazard and includes consideration of the potential flood impacts both on and off the subject land. 	N/A	

2.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection The objectives of this direction are:	N/A	
a) to protect life, property and the environment from bush fire hazards, by discouraging the establishment of incompatible land uses in bush fire prone areas, and		
b) to encourage sound management of bush fire prone areas.		
Regional Planning		
3.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies	N/A	
3.2 Sydney Drinking Water Catchments The objective of this Direction is to protect water quality in the Sydney drinking water catchment.	N/A	
3.3 Farmland of State and Regional Significance on the NSW Far North Coast	N/A	
3.4 Commercial and Retail Development along the Pacific Highway, North Coast	N/A	
3.5 Second Sydney Airport: Badgerys Creek	N/A	
3.6 Sydney to Canberra Corridor (Revoked 10 July 2008. See amended Direction 5.1)	N/A	
3.7 Central Coast (Revoked 10 July 2008. See amended Direction 5.1)	N/A	
3.8 Second Sydney Airport: Badgerys Creek	N/A	
3.9 North West Rail Link Corridor Strategy	N/A	
3.10 Implementation of Regional Plans	N/A	
Local Plan Making		
4.1 Approval and Referral Requirements The objective of this direction is to ensure that LEP provisions encourage the efficient and appropriate assessment of development.	N/A	
4.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes The objectives of this direction are: (a) to facilitate the provision of public services and facilities by reserving land for public purposes, and (b) to facilitate the removal of reservations of land for public purposes where the land is no longer required for acquisition.	N/A	
4.3 Site Specific Provisions The objective of this direction is to discourage unnecessarily restrictive site specific planning controls.	Yes	Site specific heritage significance based on detailed heritage assessment.
Metropolitan Planning		
5.1 Implementation of 'A plan for Growing Sydney' The objective of this direction is to give legal effect to the planning principles, directions, and priorities for the subregions, strategic centres and transport gateways contained in A Plan for Growing Sydney.	Yes	Consistent, as the Planning Proposal aligns with the vision, land use strategy, goals, directions and actions contained in 'A plan for Growing Sydney'.
7.2 Implementation of Greater Macarthur Land Release Investigation	N/A	

Section C – Environmental, social and economic impact

Q7. Is there any likelihood that critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats, will be adversely affected as a result of the proposal?

No. The proposal to heritage list the house will have no impact on any critical habitats to threatened species.

Q8. Are there any other likely environmental effects as a result of the planning proposal and how are they proposed to be managed?

There are no likely environmental effects as a result of the planning proposal.

Q9. Has the planning proposal adequately addressed any social and economic effects?

The planning proposal will have an impact on the future planning of the private hospital, as it will prevent the demolition of the house. However, the private hospital currently uses the house and grounds as part of its operation, and this use can continue.

Section D – State and Commonwealth interests

Q10. Is there adequate public infrastructure for the planning proposal?

This proposal has no impacts on public infrastructure provision.



Q11. What are the views of State and Commonwealth public authorities consulted in accordance with the Gateway determination?

The views of any relevant State and Commonwealth agencies will be sought through consultation following receipt of the Gateway Determination.

Part 4 – Maps, where relevant, to identify the intent of the planning proposal and the area to which it applies

4.1 Mapping Change

Change to the Heritage Map for 65 Hotham Road Gymea (Lot 102 DP1028645)

Heritage Map	
Existing: 65 Hotham Road Gymea not heritage listed	Proposed: 65 Hotham Road Gymea heritage listed
	

Part 5 – Details of the community consultation that is to be undertaken on the planning proposal

In accordance with “A Guide to Preparing Local Environmental Plans” prepared by the Department of Planning and Environment (2016), the Planning Proposal will be exhibited for a period of 28 days. It is proposed that the exhibition will include:

Advertisement in local newspaper

An advertisement will be placed in the Council page in the St George and Sutherland Shire Leader and The Liverpool City Leader identifying the purpose of the planning proposal and where the planning proposal can be viewed.

Consultation with affected owners and adjoining landowners

A letter will be sent to landowners whose land is affected by the planning proposal, and adjoining landowners. Opportunities for one-on-one consultations to discuss the proposals will be offered to interested parties.

Displays at the Council Administration Building and local libraries

The planning proposal will be displayed at the Council Administration Building, 4-20 Eton Street, Sutherland and in all branch libraries (located in Bundeena, Caringbah, Cronulla, Engadine, Menai, Miranda, Sutherland and Sylvania).

Advertisement on the Council website

The planning proposal will be exhibited on the Council consultation website (jointheconversation.sutherlandshire.nsw.gov.au) with links from the home page. It is anticipated that the mapping changes will be available through Shire Maps (Council’s interactive online mapping system) which will be especially beneficial for the public to compare the existing and proposed changes for any property.

Direct contact

Interested parties will be able to contact the Strategic Planning Unit of Council directly through a telephone hotline and through a dedicated email address.

Part 6 – Project Timeline

Milestones	Timing
Gateway Determination	June 2019
Exhibition Start	July 2019
End Exhibition	August 2019
Review and Consideration of Submissions	September 2019
Report to Committee on Submissions	October 2019
Council Meeting	November 2019
Request for Draft Instrument to be Prepared	December 2019

Conclusion

The Planning Proposal is to designate 65 Hotham Road GyMEA as a local heritage item in the Sutherland Shire Council area.

The Planning Proposal is generally consistent with relevant State and local legislation, directions, policies and strategic documents and will have a minimal environmental, social and economic impact.

Appendix 1: Criteria for Delegation of Plan Making Functions

Checklist for the review of a request for delegation of plan making functions to councils

Local Government Area: Sutherland Shire Council

Name of draft LEP: Sutherland Shire Local Environmental Plan Amendment x

Address of Land (if applicable):

65 Hotham Road Gymea

Intent of draft LEP:

This Planning Proposal seeks to amend the Sutherland Shire Local Environmental Plan 2015, Schedule 5 Environmental heritage (and associated mapping), to designate the house at 65 Hotham Road Gymea as a Local Heritage item in the Sutherland Shire.

Additional Supporting Points/Information:

Supporting study is attached: A detailed heritage assessment of the house at 65 Hotham Road Gymea by consultants with expertise in heritage assessment, Architectural Projects Pty Ltd (Director: Jennifer Hill)

Evaluation criteria for the issuing of an Authorisation (Note: where the matter is identified as relevant and the requirement has not been met, council is attach information to explain why the matter has not been addressed)	Council response		Department assessment	
	Y/N	Not relevant	Agree	Not agree
Is the planning proposal consistent with the Standard Instrument Order, 2006?	Y			
Does the planning proposal contain an adequate explanation of the intent, objectives, and intended outcome of the proposed amendment?	Y			
Are appropriate maps included to identify the location of the site and the intent of the amendment?	Y			
Does the planning proposal contain details related to proposed consultation?	Y			
Is the planning proposal compatible with an endorsed regional or sub-regional planning strategy or a local strategy endorsed by the Director-General?	Y			
Does the planning proposal adequately address any consistency with all relevant S117 Planning Directions?	Y			
Is the planning proposal consistent with all relevant State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs)?	Y			
Minor Mapping Error Amendments	Y/N			
Does the planning proposal seek to address a minor mapping error and contain all appropriate maps that clearly identify the error and the manner in which the error will be addressed?		Not relevant		
Heritage LEPs	Y/N			
Does the planning proposal seek to add or remove a local heritage item and is it supported by a strategy/study endorsed by the Heritage Office?	Y			
Does the planning proposal include another form of endorsement or support from the Heritage Office if there is no supporting strategy/study?		Not relevant		
Does the planning proposal potentially impact on an item of State Heritage Significance and if so, have the views of the Heritage Office been obtained?		Not relevant		

Redesignations	Y/N			
Is there an associated spot rezoning with the reclassification?		Not Relevant		
If yes to the above, is the rezoning consistent with an endorsed Plan of Management (POM) or strategy?		Not Relevant		
Is the planning proposal proposed to rectify an anomaly in a classification?		Not Relevant		
Will the planning proposal be consistent with an adopted POM or other strategy related to the site?		Not Relevant		
Will the draft LEP discharge any interests in public land under section 30 of the Local Government Act, 1993?		Not Relevant		
If so, has council identified all interests; whether any rights or interests will be extinguished; any trusts and covenants relevant to the site; and, included a copy of the title with the planning proposal?		Not Relevant		
Has the council identified that it will exhibit the planning proposal in accordance with the department's Practice Note (PN 09-003) Classification and reclassification of public land through a local environmental plan and Best Practice Guideline for LEPs and Council Land?		Not Relevant		
Has council acknowledged in its planning proposal that a Public Hearing will be required and agreed to hold one as part of its documentation?		Not Relevant		
Spot Rezonings	Y/N			
Will the proposal result in a loss of development potential for the site (ie reduced FSR or building height) that is not supported by an endorsed strategy?		Not Relevant		
Is the rezoning intended to address an anomaly that has been identified following the conversion of a principal LEP into a Standard Instrument LEP format?		Not Relevant		
Will the planning proposal deal with a previously deferred matter in an existing LEP and if so, does it provide enough information to explain how the issue that lead to the deferral has been addressed?		Not Relevant		
If yes, does the planning proposal contain sufficient documented justification to enable the matter to proceed?		Not relevant		
Does the planning proposal create an exception to a mapped development standard?		Not Relevant		

Section 73A matters				
Does the proposed instrument			Not Relevant	
a. correct an obvious error in the principal instrument consisting of a misdescription, the inconsistent numbering of provisions, a wrong cross-reference, a spelling error, a grammatical mistake, the insertion of obviously missing words, the removal of obviously unnecessary words or a formatting error?;				
b. address matters in the principal instrument that are of a consequential, transitional, machinery or other minor nature?; or				
c. deal with matters that do not warrant compliance with the conditions precedent for the making of the instrument because they will not have any significant adverse impact on the environment or adjoining land?				
(NOTE – the Minister (or Delegate) will need to form an Opinion under section 73(A1)(c) of the Act in order for a matter in this category to proceed).				

NOTES

- Where a council responds 'yes' or can demonstrate that the matter is 'not relevant', in most cases, the planning proposal will routinely be delegated to council to finalise as a matter of local planning significance.
- Endorsed strategy means a regional strategy, sub-regional strategy, or any other local strategic planning document that is endorsed by the Director-General of the department.